

Non-local conservation laws and flow equations for supersymmetric integrable hierarchies

Jens Ole Madsen and J. Luis Miramontes

*Departamento de Física de Partículas,
Facultad de Física
Universidad de Santiago de Compostela
E-15706 Santiago de Compostela, Spain*

madsen@fpaxp1.usc.es miramont@fpaxp1.usc.es

Abstract

An infinite series of Grassmann-odd and Grassmann-even flow equations is defined for a class of supersymmetric integrable hierarchies associated with loop superalgebras. All these flows commute with the mutually commuting bosonic ones originally considered to define these hierarchies and, hence, provide extra fermionic and bosonic symmetries that include the built-in $N = 1$ supersymmetry transformation. The corresponding non-local conserved quantities are also constructed. As an example, the particular case of the principal supersymmetric hierarchies associated with the affine superalgebras with a fermionic simple root system is discussed in detail.

1 Introduction

Arguably, one of the most important works in the subject of integrable systems was that of Drinfel'd and Sokolov [1], who showed how to associate integrable hierarchies of zero-curvature equations with the loop algebra of an affine Lie algebra. Their construction and its generalizations [2] provide a systematic approach to the study and classification of many integrable hierarchies previously described by means of pseudo-differential Lax operators [3].

It is not difficult to extend the generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov construction to the case of superalgebras. One simply has to replace the loop algebra by a loop superalgebra and include fermionic (Grassmann-odd) anticommuting fields among the dynamical degrees of freedom. However, the resulting hierarchy will not necessarily be supersymmetric. The first authors who succeeded in finding a supersymmetric generalization of the DS construction were Inami and Kanno [4] who restricted to the class of affine superalgebras with a fermionic simple root system. Since they made use of the principal gradation of the loop superalgebra in an essential way, their work has to be viewed as the direct generalization of the original DS construction. More recently, Delduc and Gallot [5] realized that it is possible to associate a supersymmetric integrable hierarchy of the DS type with each constant graded odd element Ψ of the loop superalgebra whose square $\Lambda = [\Psi, \Psi]/2$ is semi-simple, a condition that is obviously satisfied in the cases considered by Inami and Kanno.

A common feature of the hierarchies constructed in [4] and [5] is that they consist only of bosonic flow equations. This is in sharp contrast with the supersymmetric extensions of the KP hierarchy (SKP) which always include both Grassmann-odd and Grassmann-even flow equations [6]. This observation led Kersten to find an infinite set of fermionic non-local conservation laws [7] for the supersymmetric extension of the KdV equation obtained by Manin and Radul as a reduction of the SKP hierarchy [6]. A few years later, Dargis and Mathieu showed that they actually generate an infinite sequence of non-local Grassmann-odd flows [8] (see also [9]).

The aim of this paper is to show that the same is true for the whole class of supersymmetric hierarchies of [5] by constructing an infinite series of non-local (bosonic and fermionic) flow equations and conserved quantities which generalize those obtained in [7, 8] for the supersymmetric KdV equation. The new flows close a non-abelian superalgebra that has to be regarded as an algebra of symmetry transformations for the hierarchy. It includes the built-in $N = 1$ supersymmetry transformation and, in some cases, extended supersymmetry transformations.

Following ref. [5], a generalized supersymmetric hierarchy of equations can be associated with a fermionic Lax operator of the form $\mathcal{L} = D + q(x, \theta) + \Psi$, where $q(x, \theta)$ is an $N = 1$ Grassmann-odd superfield taking values in a particular subspace of the loop superalgebra, D is the superderivative, and Ψ is a constant graded odd element whose square $\Lambda = [\Psi, \Psi]/2$ is semi-simple. Delduc and Gallot defined an infinite set of mutually commuting bosonic flows and conserved quantities associated with the elements in the centre of $\mathcal{K} = \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda)$, which contains only even elements. In contrast, in our construction, there will be a non-local flow equation and conserved quantity for each (fermionic or bosonic) element in $\mathcal{K}$ with non-negative grade. These flows close a non-abelian superalgebra isomorphic to the subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}$ formed by the elements with non-negative grade.

The authors of [2] distinguished between (bosonic) generalized DS hierarchies of type-I and type-II. The generalized DS hierarchies are associated with bosonic Lax operators of the form $L = \partial_x + Q(x) - \Lambda$, where $Q(x)$ is a bosonic field taking values in a subspace of a loop algebra and Λ is a constant semi-simple graded element. Then, the hierarchy is of type-I or type-II depending on whether Λ is regular or not, *i.e.*, a type-II hierarchy is associated with a semi-simple element Λ such that $\mathcal{K} = \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda)$ is non-abelian. In [5], the analogue of L is the even Lax operator $[\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{L}]/2 = D^2 + Q(x, \theta) - \Lambda$. Therefore, from this point of view, all the supersymmetric hierarchies of [5] have to be considered as type-II: Ψ is in $\mathcal{K}$ but $[\Psi, \Psi] = 2\Lambda \neq 0$, which proves that $\mathcal{K}$ is non-abelian. In fact, it is straightforward to extend our construction of non-local flow equations and conserved quantities to all the type-II bosonic hierarchies of [2].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we briefly summarize the supersymmetric hierarchies of Delduc and Gallot, making particular emphasis on the possibility of having fermionic local conserved quantities. In the next two sections we present the construction of non-local flows, sec. 3, and conserved quantities, sec. 4. We also characterise the flows which are compatible with the supersymmetry transformation, and the conserved quantities which are supersymmetric. As an example, in section 5 we give a very detailed description of the non-local flows and conserved quantities for the principal hierarchies originally considered by Inami and Kanno, *i.e.*, those associated with superalgebras with a fermionic simple root system. We show that the complete set of local and non-local flow equations are associated with a subalgebra of the superoscillator algebra constructed by Kac and van de Leur in [10], which is the principal ‘super Heisenberg algebra’ of $a_{\infty|\infty}$ [11]. This relationship generalizes the well known role of the principal Heisenberg algebra in the original DS hierarchies, which could be used to derive a τ -function formalism for these hierarchies following the method of [12, 13, 14] and, especially, to construct solutions for the equations of the hierarchy using super vertex operator representations. It is also remarkable that, in the particular case of the affine superalgebras $A(m, m)^{(1)}$, there is a local Grassmann-odd flow $\bar{D}_1$ that, together with D , closes an $N = 2$ supersymmetry algebra, in agreement with the results of [15, 5]. In section 6 we apply our construction to the supersymmetric KdV equation in order to show how the results of [8] are recovered. As a bonus, we get additional non-local conserved quantities not obtained in previous works. Our conventions and some basic properties of Lie superalgebras are presented in appendix B. Since we are not aware of any reference where they are available, we have included in this appendix detailed expressions for the matrix-representations of the affine Lie superalgebras with fermionic simple root systems which are needed for the understanding of section 5. Recall that this class of superalgebras play an important role in supersymmetric Toda theories and supersymmetric hamiltonian reduction and, hence, these expressions should be useful beyond the scope of this paper. Our conclusions are presented in section 7.

2 Review of the Delduc-Gallot construction

Following [5], a supersymmetric (partially modified) KdV system can be associated with four data $(\mathcal{A}, d_1, d_0, \Psi)$. The first, $\mathcal{A}$, is a twisted loop superalgebra

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}, \tau) \subset \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}] \quad (2.1)$$

attached to a finite dimensional classical Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{G}$ together with an automorphism τ of finite order. The second and third, d_1 and d_0 , are derivations of $\mathcal{A}$ that induce two compatible integer gradations: $[d_0, d_1] = 0$. Then, the subsets $\mathcal{A}_m^n = \mathcal{A}_m \cap \mathcal{A}^n$, where $\mathcal{A}_m = \{X \in \mathcal{A} \mid [d_0, X] = mX\}$ and $\mathcal{A}^n = \{X \in \mathcal{A} \mid [d_1, X] = nX\}$, define a bi-grading of $\mathcal{A}$. We will assume that

$$\mathcal{A}^{<0} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\leq 0}, \quad \mathcal{A}^0 \subset \mathcal{A}_0, \quad \mathcal{A}^{>0} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\geq 0}, \quad (2.2)$$

which means, in the notation of [5], that we restrict ourselves to the ‘KdV type systems’.

Finally, Ψ is a constant odd element of $\mathcal{A}$ with positive d_1 -grade, *i.e.*, $[d_1, \Psi] = k\Psi$ with $k > 0$, whose square $\Lambda = \frac{1}{2}[\Psi, \Psi]$ is semi-simple:

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda) \oplus \text{Im}(\text{ad } \Lambda). \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, Ψ has to satisfy the non-degeneracy condition ¹

$$\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Psi) \cap \mathcal{A}_0^{<0} = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

and it is worth recalling that

$$[\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda), \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda)] \subset \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda), \quad [\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda), \text{Im}(\text{ad } \Lambda)] \subset \text{Im}(\text{ad } \Lambda). \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore, $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda)$ is a, generally non-abelian, subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ and we will denote by $\mathcal{Z}$ its centre, which contains only even elements of $\mathcal{A}$.

The dynamical degrees of freedom will be superfields taking values in the tensor product $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ with some Grassmann algebra $\mathcal{G}r = \mathcal{G}r_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{G}r_{\bar{1}}$. An element in this space is called *even* if it belongs to ${}_{+}\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \otimes \mathcal{G}r_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}} \otimes \mathcal{G}r_{\bar{1}}$, and *odd* if it belongs to ${}_{-}\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \otimes \mathcal{G}r_{\bar{1}} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}} \otimes \mathcal{G}r_{\bar{0}}$. Let T^a be a set of basis vectors of $\mathcal{A}$. Then, the (super) commutator of two elements $A = \sum_a A_a T^a$ and $B = \sum_a B_a T^a$ of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ will be defined as

$$[A, B] = \sum_{a,b} A_a B_b [T^a, T^b], \quad (2.6)$$

where $A_a, B_b \in \mathcal{G}r$. With this definition, the commutator satisfies the symmetry properties listed in table 1, where we denote by $\hat{}$ (hat) the automorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ that changes the sign of odd elements:

$$M = M_{\bar{0}} + M_{\bar{1}} \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \hat{M} = M_{\bar{0}} - M_{\bar{1}}. \quad (2.7)$$

Consider an $N = 1$ superspace with coordinates $\tilde{x} = (x, \theta)$ with the supersymmetric covariant derivative defined in the usual way:

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad D^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}. \quad (2.8)$$

Then, let us introduce the odd Lax operator

$$\mathcal{L} = D + q + \Psi, \quad (2.9)$$

¹Notice that our non-degeneracy condition implies that $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda) \cap \mathcal{A}_0^{<0} = 0$, which is the non-degeneracy condition mentioned in [5]. However, the latter is unnecessarily more restrictive than the former.

$[A, B] =$	$A \in {}_+\mathcal{A}$	$A \in {}_-\mathcal{A}$
$B \in {}_+\mathcal{A}$	$-[B, A]$	$-[\hat{B}, A]$
$B \in {}_-\mathcal{A}$	$-[B, \hat{A}]$	$+[\hat{B}, \hat{A}]$

Table 1: Symmetry properties of the supercommutator.

where $q = q(\tilde{x})$ is an odd superfield that takes values in ${}_-\mathcal{A}_{\geq 0}^{<k}$. From the odd Lax operator $\mathcal{L}$ we can obtain the following even operator

$$L = \frac{1}{2}[\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{L}] = \partial + Q - \Lambda, \quad (2.10)$$

where the even superfield

$$Q = Q(\tilde{x}) = Dq + \frac{1}{2}[\hat{q}, q] - [\Psi, q] \quad (2.11)$$

takes values in ${}_+\mathcal{A}_{\geq 0}^{<2k}$.

The phase space of the hierarchy will be $\mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{N}$, where

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{q(\tilde{x}) \mid q(\tilde{x}) \in {}_-\mathcal{A}_{\geq 0}^{<k}\} \quad (2.12)$$

and $\mathcal{N}$ is the group of gauge transformations e^γ acting on $\mathcal{Q}$ according to

$$e^\gamma : \mathcal{L} \longmapsto e^{\hat{\gamma}} \mathcal{L} e^{-\gamma} \quad (2.13)$$

for any superfield $\gamma = \gamma(\tilde{x})$ taking values in ${}_+\mathcal{A}_0^{<0}$. Let us define a vector subspace V of $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}_{\geq 0}^{<k} = [\Psi, \mathcal{A}_0^{<0}] \oplus V. \quad (2.14)$$

Then, due to the non-degeneracy condition (2.4), for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ there is a unique q -dependent gauge transformation such that the image $q_V = q_V(\tilde{x})$ is in

$$\mathcal{Q}_V = \{q(\tilde{x}) \mid q(\tilde{x}) \in {}_-\mathcal{V}\}; \quad (2.15)$$

in other words, $\mathcal{Q}_V$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{N}$. When regarded as functions of $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, the components of q_V are super-differential polynomials that freely generate the set of gauge invariant polynomials on $\mathcal{Q}$, and $\mathcal{Q}_V$ is said to specify a Drinfel'd-Sokolov gauge.

The construction of [5] relies on the following version of the dressing procedure:

Lemma 1 *For any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, there exists a unique $F \in {}_+(\text{Im}(\text{ad}\Lambda))^{<0}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = e^{\hat{F}} \mathcal{L} e^{-F} = D + \Psi + H, \quad (2.16)$$

where $H \in {}_-(\text{Ker}(\text{ad}\Lambda))^{<k}$. Moreover, the components of F and H are super-differential polynomials of q .

This result is used to define an infinite number of commuting flows on $\mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{N}$ associated with the elements of $\mathcal{Z}^{\geq 0}$ as follows. For each constant $b \in \mathcal{Z}^{\geq 0}$, let us define the even

superfield $B_b = e^{-\hat{F}} b e^{\hat{F}}$. Then, the flow on the space of gauge invariant functionals of q is induced by ²

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_b} q = \partial_b q &= [\mathcal{P}_{\geq 0}(B_b), \mathcal{L}] = -[\mathcal{P}_{< 0}(B_b), \mathcal{L}] \\ &= [\mathcal{R}(B_b), \mathcal{L}], \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{< 0}$ are the projectors on the subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{< 0}$, respectively, and $\mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{P}_{\geq 0} - \mathcal{P}_{< 0})$ is a (super) classical r-matrix.

In the following, it will be useful to introduce the notation $\mathcal{K} = \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda)$. Let us consider the evolution of H in (2.16), which reads

$$\partial_b H = -D\hat{A}_b + [A_b, \Psi + H], \quad (2.18)$$

where A_b is a super-differential polynomial of q taking values in $-\mathcal{K}^{< 0}$. Following the work of Inami and Kanno [4], let $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ be a vector subspace of $\mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\mathcal{K} = [\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}] \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{K}}. \quad (2.19)$$

Then, an infinite set of local conservation laws can be associated with the components of H in $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$. To be precise, let $[\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}]^\perp = \{b \in \mathcal{K} \mid \langle [\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}], b \rangle = 0\}$. Since the restriction of the bilinear form to $\mathcal{K}$ is non-degenerate, $[\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}]^\perp$ is conjugate to $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Then, for each $\xi \in [\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}]^\perp$ there is a local conservation law

$$\partial_b \langle \xi, H \rangle = -D \langle \xi, \hat{A}_b \rangle. \quad (2.20)$$

A particular subset of $[\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}]^\perp$ is $\mathcal{Z}$, the centre of $\mathcal{K}$, which obviously satisfies $\langle [\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}], \mathcal{Z} \rangle = 0$ due to the invariance of the bilinear form. Therefore, since $\mathcal{Z}$ contains only even elements of $\mathcal{A}$, the components of H corresponding to $\mathcal{Z}$ provide an infinite set of ‘even’ local conserved quantities, which are the Hamiltonians corresponding to the flows defined by (2.17). Namely, for $b \in \mathcal{Z}^{\geq 0}$,

$$\mathcal{H}_b(q) = \int d\tilde{x} \langle b, H \rangle \quad (2.21)$$

is the Hamiltonian that generates the flow $\partial/\partial t_b$ [5].

However, in general, it is not true that $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}]^\perp$ or, in other words, that $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ are conjugate with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Consequently, eq. (2.20) might provide more local conservation laws than those associated with $\mathcal{Z}$ and given by (2.21). In particular, and in contrast with $\mathcal{Z}$, the vector subspace $[\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}]^\perp$ can contain odd elements of $\mathcal{A}$ and, then, eq. (2.20) would provide Grassmann-odd local conserved quantities.

All this suggest that it should be possible to generalize the construction of [5] to define new flows associated somehow with the elements of $[\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}]^\perp$ that are not in $\mathcal{Z}$. In particular, it should be possible to define fermionic flows associated with the odd elements of $[\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}]^\perp$.

²Since the flows are defined on the set of gauge invariant functions of $q(\tilde{x})$, there are many equivalent definitions of $\partial_b q$ corresponding to the same flow. Namely, one can take $\partial_b q = [\mathcal{R}(B_b) + \gamma, \mathcal{L}]$, where γ is an arbitrary superfield in $+\mathcal{A}_0^{< 0}$ that generates an infinitesimal gauge transformation.

3 Non-local flows

In this Section, we will associate flow equations with all the elements of $\mathcal{K} = \text{Ker}(\text{ad}\Lambda)$. In general, these equations will be non local, *i.e.*, the evolution of q will not be given by a super-differential polynomial of q . Moreover, instead of commuting among themselves, the resulting flows will close a non-abelian superalgebra isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}^{\geq 0}$.

3.1 Formal dressing transformations

Our construction makes use of a different version of the formal dressing method inspired by the work of Wilson on the tau-function approach to hierarchies of KdV type [13, 12]. First of all, for any superfield $\omega \in {}_+\mathcal{K}^{<0}$, notice that the transformation

$$e^F \longrightarrow e^{F'} = e^\omega e^F \quad (3.1)$$

in eq. (2.16) is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \longrightarrow e^{\hat{\omega}} \mathcal{L}_0 e^{-\omega} = D + \Psi + H', \quad (3.2)$$

which does not change the form of the right-hand side of (2.16).

Proposition 1 *The Lax operator $\mathcal{L}$ can be ‘constrained’ to ensure the existence of an even superfield $\omega \in {}_+\mathcal{K}^{<0}$ such that*

$$e^{\hat{\omega}}(D + \Psi + H)e^{-\omega} = D + \Psi. \quad (3.3)$$

The superfield ω is a non-local functional of q , and the constraints involve the components of H in $\mathcal{K}^{\geq -k}$.

Since the proof is quite involved, it will be presented in Appendix A.

It is not easy to give the precise form of the constraints on $H^{\geq -k}$ required by Proposition 1. However, their nature can be clarified by considering the transformation (3.3) acting on the even Lax operator

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{2}[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_0, \mathcal{L}_0] = \partial + h - \Lambda, \quad h = DH + \frac{1}{2}[\hat{H}, H] - [\Psi, H]. \quad (3.4)$$

Then, eq. (3.3) implies

$$e^\omega(\partial + h - \Lambda)e^{-\omega} = \partial - \Lambda \quad (3.5)$$

that manifests the constraints $h^{\geq 0} = 0$, which are well known in the context of the tau-function approach to (bosonic) hierarchies of KdV type [12].

The relation between the latter constraints and those required by Proposition 1 can be explicitly shown for a hierarchy where the d_1 -grade of Ψ is $k = 1$. Then, according to (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} h^1 &= -[\Psi, H^0] \\ h^0 &= DH^0 + \frac{1}{2}[\hat{H}^0, H^0] - [\Psi, H^{-1}] = -[\Psi, H^{*-1}], \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where H^* is defined in appendix A by eq. (A.8). In this case, and according to eqs. (A.4) and (A.9), the constraints required by Proposition 1 are

$$H^0 \in \mathfrak{S} = \text{Im}(\text{ad}\Psi) \cap \mathcal{K}, \quad H^{*-1} \in \mathfrak{R} = \text{Ker}(\text{ad}\Psi), \quad (3.7)$$

while $h^{\geq 0} = 0$ is equivalent to

$$H^0, H^{*-1} \in \mathfrak{R}. \quad (3.8)$$

Since $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$, the former conditions imply the latter. However, in general $\mathfrak{S} \neq \mathfrak{R}$ and, thus, the constraints $h^{\geq 0} = 0$ are less restrictive than those required by Proposition 1.

A particular case where Proposition 1 is satisfied without introducing any constraint is given by the following

Lemma 2 *If the d_1 -grade of Ψ is $k = 1$ and $\mathcal{K}^0 = \{0\}$, Proposition 1 is satisfied without constraining the Lax operator at all.*

Proof: In this case, since $H^0 = 0$, the only constraint would be $H^{-1} \in \mathfrak{R}$. However, since $[\Psi, H^{-1}] \in \mathcal{K}^0 = \{0\}$, it is obviously satisfied.

This Lemma applies to all the principal hierarchies that will be consider in the next Sections.

Lemma 1 and Proposition 1 ensure that the Lax operator $\mathcal{L}$ can be constrained such that

$$\mathcal{L} = D + q + \Psi = \hat{\Theta}_L^{-1}(D + \Psi)\Theta_L, \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$\Theta_L = e^\omega e^F. \quad (3.10)$$

Consider the group of gauge transformations given by (2.13). A useful gauge fixing prescription (different from the Drinfel'd-Sokolov gauge) is provided by the following

Lemma 3 *A consistent gauge slice can be chosen such that*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = D + \tilde{q} + \Psi = \hat{\Theta}^{-1}(D + \Psi)\Theta, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\Theta = e^y$ and $y = y(\tilde{x})$ is an even superfield taking values in $+\mathcal{A}_{<0}$.

Proof: First of all, notice that the gauge transformations (2.13) act on (3.9) according to $\Theta_L \longrightarrow \Theta_L e^{-\gamma}$. Moreover, $\Theta_L = e^{y_L}$ and $y_L \in +\mathcal{A}^{<0}$. Then, taking into account (2.2), one can perform a gauge transformation generated by $\gamma_L = \mathcal{P}_0(y_L)$ to construct

$$\Theta = \Theta_L e^{-\gamma_L} = e^\omega e^F e^{-\mathcal{P}_0(F)} e^{-\mathcal{P}_0(\omega)}, \quad (3.12)$$

which proves the lemma.

3.2 The flow equations

Eq. (3.11) provides a one-to-one map between the components of the (gauged fixed) Lax operator and some components of Θ and, in our construction, the flows will be defined as flow equations for Θ .

Let u be a constant element in ${}_+\mathcal{K}^{\geq 0}$, $Du = 0$, and define the infinitesimal transformation³

$$\delta_u \Theta = \Theta(\Theta^{-1} \hat{u} \Theta)_{<0}. \quad (3.13)$$

Since $u \in {}_+\mathcal{K}^{\geq 0}$, it has to be of the form $u = eb + \epsilon \xi$, where $b \in \mathcal{K}^{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{A}_{\overline{0}}$, $\xi \in \mathcal{K}^{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{A}_{\overline{1}}$, $e \in \mathcal{G}r_{\overline{0}}$, and $\epsilon \in \mathcal{G}r_{\overline{1}}$. Then, the infinitesimal transformation can be written as

$$\delta_u = eD_b + \epsilon D_\xi, \quad (3.14)$$

which provides the following flow equations

Definition 1 *The flow equations associated with $b \in \mathcal{K}^{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{A}_{\overline{0}}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{K}^{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{A}_{\overline{1}}$ are defined by*

$$D_b \Theta = \Theta(\Theta^{-1} b \Theta)_{<0}, \quad D_\xi \Theta = -\hat{\Theta}(\hat{\Theta}^{-1} \xi \Theta)_{<0}, \quad (3.15)$$

where D_b and D_ξ are bosonic and Grassmann derivatives, respectively.

These flows do not commute among themselves. Instead, they close the following superalgebra.

Theorem 1 *For any $b, c \in \mathcal{K}^{\geq 0}$,*

$$[D_b, D_c] = D_{[c, b]}. \quad (3.16)$$

Proof: Let us consider the infinitesimal transformations corresponding to two elements u, v in ${}_+\mathcal{K}^{\geq 0}$. Then,

$$\delta_u \delta_v \Theta = \Theta \left((\Theta^{-1} \hat{u} \Theta)_{<0} (\Theta^{-1} \hat{v} \Theta)_{<0} + [\Theta^{-1} \hat{v} \Theta, (\Theta^{-1} \hat{u} \Theta)_{<0}]_{<0} \right), \quad (3.17)$$

and it is straightforward to check that

$$[\delta_u, \delta_v] \Theta = -\Theta(\Theta^{-1} [\hat{u}, \hat{v}] \Theta)_{<0} = \delta_{[v, u]}. \quad (3.18)$$

Then, the proof of the theorem can be completed by considering the relation between the infinitesimal transformations δ_u and δ_v and the flow equations given by (3.14).

The flow equations (3.15) can be expressed in terms of Lax operators. Let $u \in {}_+\mathcal{K}^{\geq 0}$ and consider

$$\Delta_u = \delta_u - (\Theta^{-1} \hat{u} \Theta)_{\geq 0} = \Theta^{-1} (\delta_u - \hat{u}) \Theta, \quad (3.19)$$

where we have used the notation $\delta_u \Theta = (\delta_u \Theta) + \Theta \delta_u$ and eq. (3.13). Then, if $u = eb + \epsilon \xi$, the Lax operators corresponding to b and ξ can be defined by analogy with (3.14):

$$\Delta_u = e\mathcal{L}_b + \epsilon \mathcal{L}_\xi. \quad (3.20)$$

In other words,

³In the following, we will use the notation like $(\)_{<0} = \mathcal{P}_{<0}(\)$.

Definition 2 Let $b \in \mathcal{K}^{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{A}_{\overline{0}}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{K}^{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{A}_{\overline{1}}$, the (gauge fixed) Lax operators associated with D_b and D_ξ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_b &= D_b - (\Theta^{-1}b\Theta)_{\geq 0} = \Theta^{-1}(D_b - b)\Theta, \\ \mathcal{L}_\xi &= D_\xi + (\hat{\Theta}^{-1}\xi\Theta)_{\geq 0} = \hat{\Theta}^{-1}(D_\xi + \xi)\Theta,\end{aligned}\tag{3.21}$$

where $D_b\Theta = (D_b\Theta) + \Theta D_b$ and $D_\xi\Theta = (D_\xi\Theta) + \hat{\Theta}D_\xi$. The Lax operators $\mathcal{L}_b$ and $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ are even and odd, respectively.

Then, Theorem 1 translates into the following (super) commutation relations

Theorem 2 For each $u, v \in {}_+\mathcal{K}^{\geq 0}$, $b, c \in \mathcal{K}^{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{A}_{\overline{0}}$, and $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{K}^{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{A}_{\overline{1}}$, the following commutation relations are satisfied

$$\begin{aligned}[\Delta_u, \Delta_v] &= \Delta_{[v, u]}, & [\mathcal{L}_b, \mathcal{L}_c] &= \mathcal{L}_{[c, b]}, \\ [\hat{\mathcal{L}}_b, \mathcal{L}_\xi] &= -[\mathcal{L}_\xi, \mathcal{L}_b] = \mathcal{L}_{[\xi, b]}, \\ [\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\xi, \mathcal{L}_\eta] &= [\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\eta, \mathcal{L}_\xi] = \mathcal{L}_{[\eta, \xi]}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.22}$$

Proof: It is straightforward by using (3.19), (3.21), and (3.16). For example,

$$\begin{aligned}[\Delta_u, \Delta_v] &= \Theta^{-1}[\delta_u - \hat{u}, \delta_v - \hat{v}]\Theta = \Theta^{-1}(\delta_{[v, u]} + [\hat{u}, \hat{v}])\Theta \\ &= \Theta^{-1}(\delta_{[v, u]} - [\hat{v}, \hat{u}])\Theta = \Delta_{[v, u]}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.23}$$

3.3 Supersymmetric flow equations

One of the main motivations of the work of Delduc and Gallot in [5] was to obtain supersymmetric hierarchies. In other words, hierarchies whose flow equations are compatible with a supersymmetry transformation relating commuting to anticommuting fields.

Since both the construction of [5] and ours are formulated directly in $N = 1$ superspace with coordinates $\tilde{x} = (x, \theta)$, there is a built-in supersymmetry transformation induced by the covariant derivative: $\delta_\eta^{\text{SUSY}}q = \eta Dq$, where η is any constant Grassmann-odd parameter. By construction, and according to eqs. (3.21), (3.11), (3.22), and (2.10)

$$\mathcal{L}_\Psi = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_\Lambda = \frac{1}{2}[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\Psi, \mathcal{L}_\Psi] = \tilde{L},\tag{3.24}$$

which implies the identities

$$D_\Psi = D \quad \text{and} \quad D_\Lambda = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.\tag{3.25}$$

All this allows one to extend the supersymmetry transformation to the space of functionals of Θ or, equivalently, to the space of gauge invariant functionals of q by means of $\delta_\eta^{\text{SUSY}} = \eta D_\Psi$. Therefore, a flow equation will be supersymmetric if, and only if, it commutes with D_Ψ .

Taking into account the commutation relations (3.16), it is straightforward to prove

Theorem 3 *The flow equation D_ξ is supersymmetric if, and only if,*

$$\xi \in \mathfrak{K}^{\geq 0} = \text{Ker}(\text{ad}\Psi)^{\geq 0}. \quad (3.26)$$

Remarkably, although they are generally non-local, the supersymmetric flows can be written in terms of the same (super) classical r-matrix $\mathcal{R}$ used in eq. (2.17).

Proposition 2 *For each $b \in \mathfrak{K}^{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}}$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{K}^{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}}$, the corresponding supersymmetric flow equations on q can be written as*

$$D_b q = [\mathcal{R}(\hat{\Theta}_L^{-1} b \hat{\Theta}_L), \mathcal{L}], \quad D_\xi q = [\mathcal{R}(\Theta_L^{-1} \xi \hat{\Theta}_L), \mathcal{L}], \quad (3.27)$$

where $\mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{P}_{\geq 0} - \mathcal{P}_{< 0})$ and Θ_L is given by (3.10).

Proof: Consider $u = eb + \epsilon\xi \in {}_+\mathfrak{K}^{\geq 0}$. Since $[u, \Psi] = 0$, and taking into account (3.24), the infinitesimal transformation of the gauged fixed $\tilde{q}$ can be written as $[\hat{\Delta}_u, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}] = 0$, where Δ_u has been defined in (3.19). Moreover, according to (3.12) and (3.13),

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_u &= e^{\gamma_L} \Theta_L^{-1} (\delta_u - \hat{u}) \Theta_L e^{-\gamma_L} \\ &= e^{\gamma_L} \left(\delta_u - (\Theta_L^{-1} \hat{u} \Theta_L)_{\geq 0} + \eta_L \right) e^{-\gamma_L}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

where

$$\eta_L = e^{-\gamma_L} (\delta_u e^{\gamma_L}) \in {}_+\mathcal{A}_0^{< 0}. \quad (3.29)$$

Therefore, according to (3.11), the infinitesimal transformation of q is given by

$$[e^{-\hat{\gamma}_L} \hat{\Delta}_u e^{\hat{\gamma}_L}, \mathcal{L}] = 0. \quad (3.30)$$

This leads to

$$\delta_u q = [(\hat{\Theta}_L^{-1} u \hat{\Theta}_L)_{\geq 0} - \eta_L, \mathcal{L}], \quad (3.31)$$

which is equivalent to (3.27) up to an infinitesimal gauge transformation generated by η_L that can be omitted because flow equations are defined on the space of gauge invariant functionals of q . Finally, the proof of the Proposition follows by considering (3.9), (3.14), and taking into account that $[u, \Psi] = 0$.

In particular, Proposition 2 allows one to check that the flow equations (2.17) considered by Delduc and Gallot are recovered from our flow equations with the constant elements in $\mathcal{Z}$, the centre of $\mathcal{K} = \text{Ker}(\text{ad}\Lambda)$.

Corollary 1 *If $b \in \mathcal{Z}^{\geq 0}$, D_b coincides with $\partial/\partial t_b$ in eq. (2.17).*

Proof: It is straightforward by considering Proposition 2 and (3.10):

$$\hat{\Theta}_L^{-1} b \hat{\Theta}_L = e^{-\hat{F}} e^{-\hat{\omega}} b e^{\hat{\omega}} e^{\hat{F}} = e^{-\hat{F}} b e^{\hat{F}} = B_b, \quad (3.32)$$

where we have used that $[b, \omega] = 0$.

Taking into account this result and Lemma 1, the flows associated with the elements in $\mathcal{Z}$ are local flows in the sense that $D_b q$ is a super-differential polynomial of q . In general, the flows associated with the Lax operators (3.21) are non-local. However, it is important to notice that, *a priori*, nothing prevents the existence of other local flows than those associated with $\mathcal{Z}$. In particular, there could be Grassmann-odd local flows. An example is provided by the the following Lemma.

Lemma 4 *If there exists an element $\xi \in \mathcal{K}^{\geq 0}$ such that $[\xi, \mathcal{K}^{<0}] \subset \mathcal{K}^{<0}$, the flow equation associated with D_ξ is local.*

Proof: Without loss of generality, let us suppose that ξ is odd. Then, D_ξ is induced by the Lax operator

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi = D_\xi + (\hat{\Theta}^{-1}\xi\Theta)_{\geq 0} = D_\xi + (e^{-\hat{F}}e^{-\hat{\omega}}\xi e^\omega e^F)_{\geq 0}. \quad (3.33)$$

However, since $\mathcal{A}^{<0} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\leq 0}$, in this case

$$(e^{-\hat{\omega}}\xi e^\omega)_{\geq 0} = \xi + (e^{-\hat{\omega}}\xi e^\omega)_0^{<0}, \quad (3.34)$$

and, hence,

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi = D_\xi + (e^{-\hat{F}}\xi e^F)_{\geq 0} + \sigma, \quad (3.35)$$

where $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_0^{<0}$. Acting on q , the only effect of σ is to generate an infinitesimal gauge transformation. Therefore, on the space of gauge invariant functionals the flow D_ξ can be equivalently defined by $\mathcal{L}_\xi - \sigma$, which proves that D_ξ indeed is local.

Examples where this lemma applies will be presented in Section 5.

4 Local and non-local conserved quantities

In this section, we will construct an infinite number of local and non-local conserved quantities with respect to the bosonic flow equations (2.17) associated with $\mathcal{Z}$, the centre of $\mathcal{K} = \text{Ker}(\text{ad}\Lambda)$. We will also investigate which of them are supersymmetric.

Since most of the following expressions involve non-local terms, it is necessary to specify the boundary conditions. We will restrict ourselves to hierarchies where the superfield $q = q(\tilde{x})$ goes rapidly to zero at $x = \pm\infty$. Then, since F and H in Lemma 1 are super-differential polynomials of q , they also vanish at $x = \pm\infty$:

$$q \Big|_{x=\pm\infty} = F \Big|_{x=\pm\infty} = H \Big|_{x=\pm\infty} = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Consider the flow equation associated with any $b \in \mathcal{Z}^{\geq 0}$. According to (3.10) and (3.12),

$$\begin{aligned} D_b\Theta &= \Theta(\Theta^{-1}b\Theta)_{<0} = e^\omega e^F (e^{-F}e^{-\omega}be^\omega e^F)_{<0} e^{\gamma_L} \\ &= e^\omega e^F (e^{-F}be^F)_{<0} e^{\gamma_L}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where it has been taken into account that $\gamma_L \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $[b, \omega] = 0$. Using (4.1), this leads to

$$D_b\Theta \Big|_{x=\pm\infty} = e^\omega(b)_{<0} e^{\gamma_L} \Big|_{x=\pm\infty} = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where we have used that $b \in \mathcal{Z}^{\geq 0} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\geq 0}$. All this allows one to prove the following

Proposition 3 *All the components of $\omega \Big|_{x=\pm\infty}$ are conserved quantities with respect to the (bosonic) flows associated with $\mathcal{Z}^{\geq 0}$.*

Proof: First of all, using (4.1) and (3.12),

$$\Theta \Big|_{x=\pm\infty} = e^\omega e^{-\mathcal{P}_0(\omega)} \Big|_{x=\pm\infty} . \quad (4.4)$$

Consider $\omega = \sum_{j>0} \omega^{(j)} \xi^{-j}$, where $\xi^{-j} \in \mathcal{K}^{-j}$ and $\omega^{(j)}$ is a superfield taking values in the Grassmann algebra $\mathcal{G}r$. Then, decompose the conservation equation (4.3) in its graded components with respect to d_1 . The component whose grade equals -1 reads

$$D_b \omega^{(1)} \Big|_{x=\pm\infty} (\xi^{-1} - \mathcal{P}_0(\xi^{-1})) = 0 , \quad (4.5)$$

which implies that $\omega^{(1)}|_{x=\pm\infty}$ is conserved. Next, let us suppose that $\omega^{(j)}|_{x=\pm\infty}$ is conserved for all $1 \leq j < N$ and consider the grade- N component of (4.3),

$$D_b \left(\omega^{(N)} (\xi^{-N} - \mathcal{P}_0(\xi^{-N})) + \dots \right) \Big|_{x=\pm\infty} = 0 , \quad (4.6)$$

where the ellipsis indicates products of $\omega^{(j)}|_{x=\pm\infty}$'s with $j < N$. Therefore, one concludes that $\omega^{(N)}|_{x=\pm\infty}$ is also conserved, which completes the proof.

Notice that the components of ω are non-local functions of q ; in other words, they involve $D^{-1}q$ and, hence, are not super differential polynomials of q . This is the reason why their asymptotic values do not vanish in spite of the boundary conditions (4.1). However, some of the components of $\omega|_{x=\pm\infty}$ provide the local conservation laws given by (2.20).

Proposition 4 *For each $\xi \in [\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}]^\perp$,*

$$J_\xi^L = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \partial_x \langle \xi, \omega \rangle = \int d\tilde{x} \langle \xi, H \rangle \quad (4.7)$$

is a supersymmetric local conserved quantity.

Proof: Consider (3.3); since $\xi \in [\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}]^\perp$, it leads to

$$\langle \xi, H \rangle = \langle \xi, e^{-\hat{\omega}} (D e^\omega) + e^{-\hat{\omega}} \Psi e^\omega - \Psi \rangle = \langle \xi, D\omega \rangle . \quad (4.8)$$

Then, taking into account $\partial_x = D^2$ and (4.1),

$$J_\xi^L = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx D \langle \xi, H \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \langle \xi, H \rangle = \int d\tilde{x} \langle \xi, H \rangle . \quad (4.9)$$

Being written as the superintegral of a super differential polynomial of the superfield q , the local conserved quantity J_ξ^L is manifestly invariant with respect to the supersymmetry transformation $\delta_\eta^{\text{SUSY}} q = \eta Dq$, where η is any constant Grassmann-odd parameter.

A convenient definition of the non-local conserved quantity associated with a generic element $\chi \in \mathcal{K}^{\geq 0}$ is

$$J_\chi = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \partial_x \text{str} (\chi e^\omega) , \quad (4.10)$$

where ‘str’ is the supertrace (see appendix B.1). Taking into account proposition 3, J_χ is obviously conserved. Let us calculate the supersymmetric transformation of J_χ . First

of all, recall that (3.25) allows one to extend the supersymmetry transformation $\delta_\eta^{\text{SUSY}}$ to the space of functionals of Θ . Therefore,

$$\delta_\eta^{\text{SUSY}} J_\chi = \eta D_\Psi J_\chi = \eta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \partial_x \text{str} (\chi D e^\omega), \quad (4.11)$$

where, using (3.3),

$$D e^\omega = e^{\hat{\omega}} H + e^{\hat{\omega}} \Psi - \Psi e^\omega. \quad (4.12)$$

Moreover, it is easy to show that

$$\text{str} (\chi (e^{\hat{\omega}} \Psi - \Psi e^\omega)) = -\text{str} ([\chi, \Psi] e^\omega), \quad (4.13)$$

which allows one to write the supersymmetric transformation of J_χ as follows

$$\delta_\eta^{\text{SUSY}} J_\chi = \eta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \partial_x \text{str} (\chi e^{\hat{\omega}} H) - \eta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \partial_x \text{str} ([\chi, \Psi] e^\omega). \quad (4.14)$$

At this stage, we would like to make a comment about partial integrations in expressions with non-local terms. It is clearly ‘not’ true that $\int dx \partial_x P(x) = 0$ if $P(x)$ is a generic non-local expression; for example, if $P(x) = \partial_x^{-1} q$ the statement is obviously false. If, in agreement with (4.1), we choose boundary conditions such that all local expressions vanish sufficiently fast at $x = \pm\infty$ and we take $P(x) = L(x)N(x)$, where $L(x)$ is a local expression while $N(x)$ may be non-local, then the statement is true. This is clear since

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \partial_x P(x) = (L(x)N(x)) \Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} = 0 \quad (4.15)$$

due to the vanishing of $L(x)$ at $x = \pm\infty$. So, as long as we limit ourselves to expressions of the form ‘local’ $\times$ ‘non-local’, the use of partial integration is justified.

Taking into account all this, and since H is local, the first term on the right-hand side of (4.14) vanishes and, therefore,

$$\delta_\eta^{\text{SUSY}} J_\chi = -\eta J_{[\chi, \Psi]}, \quad (4.16)$$

which allows one to single out the set of supersymmetric non-local quantities.

Proposition 5 *For each $\chi \in \mathfrak{R}^{\geq 0} = \text{Ker}(\text{ad}\Psi)^{\geq 0}$ the non-local conserved quantity*

$$J_\chi = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \partial_x \text{str} (\chi e^\omega) = \int d\tilde{x} \text{str} (\chi e^{\hat{\omega}} H) \quad (4.17)$$

is supersymmetric with respect to $\delta_\eta^{\text{SUSY}} q = \eta Dq$.

The proof follows directly from (4.16), and the expression of J_χ as a superintegral is obtained by using $\partial_x = D^2$ and (4.12) (see also eq. (4.9)).

For a general χ , the conserved quantity J_χ is a function of θ and, therefore, has two scalar components. However, when $[\chi, \Psi] = 0$ the right-hand side of (4.17) shows that the component along θ vanishes. In order to split the two scalar components of a

generic conserved quantity let us consider $\partial_x = D^2$ in eq. (4.10) together with eqs. (4.12) and (4.13):

$$J_\chi = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx D \operatorname{str} (\chi e^{\hat{\omega}} H - [\chi, \Psi] e^{\omega}). \quad (4.18)$$

Then, using the definition of the superderivative D and taking into account that H is local, J_χ can be written as

$$J_\chi = I_\chi - \theta I_{[\chi, \Psi]}, \quad (4.19)$$

where the scalar components are given by

$$I_\chi = \int d\tilde{x} D \operatorname{str} (\chi e^{\omega}) = \int d\tilde{x} \operatorname{str} (\chi e^{\hat{\omega}} H - [\chi, \Psi] e^{\omega}), \quad (4.20)$$

which exhibits that $J_\chi = I_\chi$ whenever $[\chi, \Psi] = 0$, in agreement with (4.17).

If j and k are the d_1 -grades of χ and Ψ , respectively, it is straightforward to prove that I_χ has dimension $j/2k$ with respect to a scale transformation where the dimension of x and θ is 1 and $\frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, I_χ is Grassmann-odd or Grassmann-even depending on whether χ is a odd or even element of the superalgebra, respectively.

Finally, it is worth noticing that, according to theorem 3, the non-local supersymmetric conserved quantities are in one-to-one relation with the supersymmetric flow equations. However, we have not been able to find a deeper relationship between them where, for example, J_χ could be understood as the generator of the flow D_χ .

5 Principal Hierarchies

In this section we will restrict ourselves to consider supersymmetric KdV systems in which Ψ is a *principal* fermionic element of $\mathcal{A}$, *i.e.*,

$$\Psi = \sum_i a_i E_{\alpha_i}$$

where the sum is over all the simple roots of the algebra, and the a_i are non-zero numbers. A necessary condition for the existence of such an element is that $\mathcal{A}$ is equipped with a fermionic simple root system, and in table 2 we give a complete list of all such affine Lie superalgebras; for future reference the table contains also the bosonic subalgebras.

However, we will start by giving two lemmas which apply to the general case, namely:

Lemma 5 *An element $\Lambda \in \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}}$ is semi-simple in $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if it is semi-simple in $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}}$.*

It is well known that an element in a Lie algebra is semi-simple if and only if it can be taken to be element of a Cartan subalgebra. The same argument can be immediately applied for Lie superalgebras, and then the lemma follows, since a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 6 *If $\Lambda \in \mathcal{A}$ is regular in $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}}$, then $[\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}] \subset \mathcal{Z}$, where $\mathcal{K} = \ker(\operatorname{ad}(\Lambda))$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ is the centre of $\mathcal{K}$.*

Λ is regular in $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}}$, so we can take a basis in which $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}$ is the Cartan subalgebra H of $\mathcal{A}$. $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}$ consists of odd root-generators E_α with eigenvalue zero under $\operatorname{ad}(\Lambda)$. If $E_\alpha, E_\beta \in \mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}$, then $\alpha \cdot \beta = 0$, (including the case $\alpha = \beta$), namely:

Superalgebra	Bosonic subalgebra
$A(m, m)^{(1)}$	$A_m^{(1)} \oplus A_m^{(1)}$
$A(2m, 2m)^{(4)}$	$A_{2m}^{(2)} \oplus A_{2m}^{(2)}$
$A(2m-1, 2m-1)^{(2)}$	$A_{2m-1}^{(2)} \oplus A_{2m-1}^{(2)}$
$A(2m-2, 2m-1)^{(2)}$	$A_{2m-2}^{(2)} \oplus A_{2m-1}^{(2)} \oplus U(1)^{(1)}$
$B(m, m)^{(1)}$	$B_m^{(1)} \oplus C_m^{(1)}$
$D(m+1, m)^{(1)}$	$D_{m+1}^{(1)} \oplus C_m^{(1)}$
$D(m, m)^{(2)}$	$D_m^{(2)} \oplus C_m^{(1)}$
$D(2, 1; \alpha)^{(1)}$	$A_1^{(1)} \oplus A_1^{(1)} \oplus A_1^{(1)}$

Table 2: Affine Lie superalgebras with fermionic simple root systems. In all cases $m \geq 1$. Note that, for low values of m , the even subalgebras may fit into the general scheme only modulo standard identifications: $A_0 = \{0\}$, $A_1^{(2)} \simeq A_1^{(1)}$, $B_1 \simeq C_1 \simeq A_1$, $D_1 \simeq U(1)$ and $D_2 \simeq A_1 \oplus A_1$.

- 1) $\beta = \alpha$: $\alpha \cdot \alpha \neq 0$ implies that 2α is a root (see [16]) which is a contradiction, since $[K_{\bar{1}}, K_{\bar{1}}] \subset H$.
- 2) $\beta \neq \alpha$: $\alpha \cdot \beta \neq 0$ implies that either $\alpha + \beta$ or $\alpha - \beta$ is a root (this can be easily shown using the Jacobi identity on the generators E_α , E_β and $E_{-\beta}$). This is again a contradiction, for the same reason as above.

This implies that if $h_\alpha \in [\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}]$ and $e_\beta \in \mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}$, then $[h_\alpha, E_\beta] = (\alpha \cdot \beta)E_\beta = 0$, and therefore $h_\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}$.

For future reference, we will formulate part of this lemma as a corollary:

Corollary 2 *For Λ regular in $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}}$ and in a basis of root-generators for $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}$: If α and β are roots such that $E_\alpha, E_\beta \in \mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}$, then $\alpha^2 = \beta^2 = \alpha \cdot \beta = 0$.*

Defining $[\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}] \equiv \mathcal{Z}' \subset \mathcal{Z}$, an immediate consequence of the arguments given in the proof of the lemma is the following

Corollary 3 *$\mathcal{Z}'$ is completely degenerate*

since for any two elements $h_\alpha, h_\beta \in \mathcal{Z}'$ we have $\langle h_\alpha, h_\beta \rangle = \alpha \cdot \beta = 0$.

5.1 Principal Elements

Let now Ψ be a principal element of $\mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is one of the affine Lie superalgebras listed in table 2 except $D(2, 1; \alpha)$; we have chosen not to consider this exceptional superalgebra in detail in order to keep the exposition as simple as possible, but it can be treated using the same methods as the other algebras. We write a grading d in the form $(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_r)$, where s_i is the grade of the generator corresponding to the simple root α_i . Then, we call principal hierarchies those associated with a principal element Ψ , the principal grading $d_1 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, and another gradation d_0 . It is convenient to distinguish the following cases:

- $d_0 = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the homogeneous grading. This corresponds to what we will call SKdV type hierarchies.
- $d_0 = d_1$ are both the principal grading. We will call the corresponding hierarchies SmKdV hierarchies, supersymmetric modified KdV hierarchies.
- d_0 is in between ⁴ the homogeneous and the principal gradings. The resulting hierarchies are the SpmKdV hierarchies, supersymmetric partially modified KdV hierarchies.

The choice of d_0 is always restricted by the non-degeneracy condition (2.4). When Ψ is principal, this condition is satisfied both for the SKdV and SmKdV type hierarchies, except for the algebra $A(m, m)^{(1)}$, where the choice $d_0 = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ does not satisfy (2.4). Instead we can consider a ‘minimally modified’ SpmKdV hierarchy; we can for example follow [5] and take $d_0 = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, 1)$.

In the principal hierarchies, the d_1 - (principal) grade of Ψ is by definition 1. In addition, $\mathcal{K}^0 = \{0\}$, which follows directly from the corresponding statement for bosonic hierarchies, since $\mathcal{K}^0$ is bosonic. This implies that lemma 2 applies, *i.e.*, it is always possible, without constraining the Lax operator, to find an even superfield Θ_L such that $\hat{\Theta}_L \mathcal{L} \Theta_L^{-1} = D + \Psi$. We can therefore immediately use sections 3 and 4 to define flow equations and conserved quantities.

Since all bosonic simple roots of $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}}$ can be obtained as sums of two simple roots of $\mathcal{A}$, $\Lambda = \frac{1}{2}[\Psi, \Psi]$ is a principal element in $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}}$. Λ is therefore regular in $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}}$, and according to lemma 5 regular in $\mathcal{A}$. According to table 2, $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}}$ is always of the form $\mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \mathcal{G}_2$, or $\mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \mathcal{G}_2 \oplus U(1)^{(1)}$ in the case of $A(2m-2, 2m-1)^{(2)}$. The subalgebra $\mathcal{G}_1$ is always simple except in the case of $D(2, 1)^{(1)}$ where $\mathcal{G}_1 = D_2^{(1)} \simeq A_1^{(1)} \oplus A_1^{(1)}$. Even in the case of $A(2m-2, 2m-1)^{(2)}$, Λ is (with one exception) an element in $\mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \mathcal{G}_2$. This follows from the fact that Λ has grade 2, while the $U(1)^{(1)}$ -part of $A(2m-2, 2m-1)^{(2)}$ has grade larger than 2. The only exception is $A(0, 1)^{(2)}$, where the even subalgebra is $U(1)^{(1)} \oplus A_1^{(1)}$ and where the $U(1)^{(1)}$ subalgebra has grade 2; we will treat this example in detail in section 6. It is therefore clear that we can write $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2$ where Λ_i is a principal element in $\mathcal{G}_i$.

The problem of finding the even subalgebra $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}$ of $\mathcal{K}$, and of finding explicit realizations of its elements, has therefore been reduced to the problem of finding the kernel of $\text{ad}(\Lambda)$ in the case where Λ is a principal element in a (bosonic) Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$. This question has been studied in e.g. [1]. The elements of $\ker(\text{ad}(\Lambda))$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the set of exponents $\{e_i\}$ of $\mathcal{G}$, and are essentially of the form Λ^{e_i} ; for more details and for the exceptions see appendix C.1 and [1].

The task which is left is to find the odd subspace $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}$ of $\mathcal{K}$. In order to do this, consider the covering homomorphism ϕ , the mapping which sends the affine algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}, \tau) \subset \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}]$ into the corresponding finite algebra $\mathcal{G}$ by setting λ equal to 1 (or any non-zero number). Take a root-basis for $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\phi(\mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}})$ is the Cartan subalgebra. As shown in corollary 2, any two (fermionic) roots α and β such that $E_\alpha, E_\beta \in \phi(\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}})$ must satisfy $\alpha^2 = \beta^2 = \alpha \cdot \beta = 0$. The space spanned by those roots α such that $E_\alpha \in \phi(\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}})$ is therefore completely degenerate. Denote by (d_+, d_-) the signature of the root-space of

⁴It is possible to make this precise by defining a partial ordering of gradings, see [2]

$\mathcal{A}^5$; the maximum dimension of a completely degenerate subspace is $\min(d_+, d_-)$. Thus the maximal dimension of $\phi(\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}})$ is $2\min(d_+, d_-)$ (for each root α we have $E_{\pm\alpha}$).

In appendix C.1 we show that Ψ together with the elements of $[\Psi, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}]$, $[[\Psi, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}], \mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}]$, etc., saturate this limit, except in the case of the algebra $A(2m-1, 2m-1)^{(2)}$. In this last case, the bound allows two extra sets of elements of $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}$ which we find by explicit calculations, see eq. (C.1).

The elements of $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}$ turn out to fall naturally into two distinct classes of elements (four in the case of $A(2m-1, 2m-1)^{(2)}$). We denote the elements in these two (four) classes by ψ_i and $\bar{\psi}_i$ (plus ξ_i and $\bar{\xi}_i$) respectively, where i is the principal grade. A convenient way to parametrize the grades is to write ψ_{-1+2i} and $\bar{\psi}_{1+2i}$, where for $A(m, m)^{(1)}$ $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and for all other algebras $i \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$. We identify $\Psi = \psi_1$. The elements ξ_i and $\bar{\xi}_i$ of $A(2m-1, 2m-1)^{(2)}$ have grades $2m-1$ and $6m-3$ respectively, both modulo $8m-4$.

For all the algebras we can summarize the commutation-relations within $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}$ in the surprisingly simple general expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi_i, \psi_j] &= 2\Lambda^{\frac{i+j}{2}} \\ [\bar{\psi}_i, \bar{\psi}_j] &= -2\Lambda^{\frac{i+j}{2}} \\ [\psi_i, \bar{\psi}_j] &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

The elements on the right-hand side of these equations form the subset $\mathcal{Z}' \subset \mathcal{Z}$. In appendix C.2 we find that in the case of $D(m+1, m)^{(1)}$ there is one series of elements in $\mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{Z}'$, which we denote by $\tilde{c}_{2m+4pm}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, while in all other cases we have $\mathcal{Z}' = \mathcal{Z}$. We recall that in the algebra $A(m, m)$ we have the equivalence relation $\mathbb{1} \sim 0$ where $\mathbb{1}$ is the $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ unit matrix⁶, which implies that in $A(m, m)^{(1)}$ and $A(2m, 2m)^{(4)}$ we have $\Lambda^h = 0$, where h is the Coxeter number. For the elements ξ_i and $\bar{\xi}_i$ in $A(2m-1, 2m-1)^{(2)}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} [\xi_i, \xi_j] &= 2\Lambda^{\frac{i+j}{2}} \\ [\bar{\xi}_i, \bar{\xi}_j] &= -2\Lambda^{\frac{i+j}{2}} \\ [\xi_i, \bar{\xi}_j] &= 0 \\ [\xi_i, \psi_j] &= [\xi_i, \bar{\psi}_j] = [\bar{\xi}_i, \psi_j] = [\bar{\xi}_i, \bar{\psi}_j] = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Combining these relations with theorem 1, we immediately have explicit expressions for the algebra generated by the fermionic flows and the flows related to elements in $\mathcal{Z}$. Defining $D_i \equiv D_{\psi_i}$, $\bar{D}_i \equiv D_{\bar{\psi}_i}$ and $\partial_i = D_{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} [D_i, D_j] &= 2\partial_{i+j} \\ [\bar{D}_i, \bar{D}_j] &= -2\partial_{i+j} \\ [D_i, \bar{D}_j] &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

and similarly for the flows corresponding to eq. (5.2).

Not all of these flows are supersymmetric, *i.e.*, not all are compatible with the supersymmetry transformation. According to theorem 3, the supersymmetric flows are those corresponding to elements in $\mathfrak{R} = \ker(\text{ad}\Psi)$, *i.e.*, the elements in the centre plus

⁵In the case of $A(m, n)$ we actually use the signature of a space which includes the root-space as a subspace, see page 28

⁶We will use the notation $\mathbb{1}$ for the unit matrix in any dimension

$\bar{\psi}_i, \xi_i, \bar{\xi}_i \in \mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}$, with corresponding flows $\partial_i, D_{\bar{c}_{2m+4pm}}$ in the case of $D(m+1, m)^{(1)}$, $\bar{D}_i, D_{\xi_i}$ and $D_{\bar{\xi}_i}$. We have used the fact that for the principal hierarchies, the only even elements which commute with Ψ are those in the centre, or $(\mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}) \cap \mathfrak{R} = \emptyset$, as is evident in appendix C.1.

We have given here the commutation relations of the subalgebra $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}} \cup \mathcal{Z}$ of $\mathcal{K}$. Using the explicit expressions given in the appendices it is straightforward to find the commutation relations for all elements of $\mathcal{K}$, and thereby also the algebra generated by all the possible flows. The result is that $\mathcal{K}$ is a subalgebra of the principal super Heisenberg algebra of $a_{\infty|\infty}$ without central extension, which is isomorphic to the affine superalgebra $gl_{1|1}$ [10, 11]. This generalizes the well known role of the principal Heisenberg algebra in the KP hierarchy and in the original DS (bosonic) hierarchies. We expect that this relationship helps to derive a tau-function formalism for these hierarchies in analogy with refs. [12, 13, 14] and, especially, to construct solutions for the equations of the hierarchy using super vertex operator representations; in particular, multi-soliton solutions.

5.2 Special Cases

5.2.1 $A(m, m)^{(1)}$

The hierarchies related to the algebras $A(m, m)^{(1)}$ have been studied previously in e.g. [5, 15], and it is known that in these cases the supersymmetry can in fact be extended to an $N = 2$ supersymmetry. In our formalism, there is a very natural explanation for this fact: we see from the list above that there is a fermionic element $\bar{\psi}_1 \in \mathcal{K}$ which satisfies $\bar{\psi}_1^2 = -\Lambda$. It follows from lemma 4 that $\bar{D}_1$, the corresponding fermionic flow, is a *local* flow, and from theorem 1 that $(\bar{D}_1)^2 = -\partial_2 = -\partial_x$, and it is therefore clear that $D_1 = D$ and $i\bar{D}_1$ satisfies the $N = 2$ supersymmetry algebra.

5.2.2 $A(2m-1, 2m-1)^{(2)}$

In this case, as mentioned above, $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ in eq. (2.19) contains fermionic elements. According to eq. (2.20), this implies that we have an infinite number of *local* conserved fermionic quantities. Moreover, explicit calculations show that $[\mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}, \xi_i] = [\mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}, \bar{\xi}_i] = 0$. This, together with the commutations relations (5.2), shows that $[\xi_{2m-1}, \mathcal{K}^{<0}] \subset \mathcal{K}^{<0}$, and therefore according to lemma 4 the corresponding flow $D_{\xi_{2m-1}}$ is local.

6 Example: SKdV

We will demonstrate the application of our construction in the example of SKdV, the supersymmetric version of the usual KdV hierarchy. This hierarchy has previously been studied by many authors, mainly in the formalism of super pseudo-differential operators [6, 17, 8, 9, 18], but also using Lie superalgebras [4]. There are several advantages in taking SKdV as example: it is one of the simplest possible examples; we can compare the results of our construction with the results obtained using other methods; and we can demonstrate to which extent our method gives new results even for a hierarchy that has already been considerably studied.

The SKdV hierarchy is the supersymmetric hierarchy defined by taking Ψ to be the

principal element in $A(0, 1)^{(2)}$ [4]⁷, and to take d_1 to be the principal grading $d_1 = (1, 1)$, and d_0 to be the homogeneous grading, $d_0 = (1, 0)$

Elements $x \in A(0, 1)^{(2)}$ can be realized as 3×3 matrices of the form:

$$x = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 2\lambda u & \gamma_1 + \lambda \gamma_2 & \gamma_3 + \lambda \gamma_4 \\ \hline -\gamma_3 + \lambda \gamma_4 & \lambda u + j_0 & j_+ \\ +\gamma_1 - \lambda \gamma_2 & j_- & \lambda u - j_0 \end{array} \right)$$

where γ_i , u and j_i are polynomials in λ^2 and λ^{-2} . We take Ψ and Λ to be respectively:

$$\Psi = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \Lambda = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 2\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & -\lambda^2 & \lambda \end{array} \right) = \Psi^2$$

In this realization we can write the principal gradation d_1 as $\text{ad}(e_{2,2} - e_{3,3} + 2\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda})$, where $e_{i,j}$ denotes the matrix with 1 in the i, j 'th position, and zero elsewhere, while the homogeneous gradation d_0 is $\text{ad}(\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda})$.

$A(0, 1)^{(2)}$ is one of the two only examples where Λ is not the direct sum of two terms which are each a principal element in a simple part of the even subalgebra (the other is $D(2, 1)^{(1)}$). Instead Λ is here a direct sum of a part which is principal in $A_1^{(1)}$, and a part which lies in the $U(1)^{(1)}$ subalgebra. This gives only trivial modifications to the procedure, however.

In this case, $\mathcal{K}_0$ is generated by the elements

$$c_{2+4i} = \lambda^{2i} \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 2\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{array} \right) \quad d_{2+4i} = \lambda^{2i} \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda^2 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

where $\Lambda = c_2 - d_2$, while $\mathcal{K}_1$ is generated by

$$\psi_{1+4i} = \lambda^{2i} \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \bar{\psi}_{3+4i} = \lambda^{2i} \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & -\lambda^2 & \lambda \\ \hline \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda^2 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

and $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{K}_0$ is generated by the elements $b_{2+4i} = \lambda^{2i} \Lambda$. They satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi_{1+4i}, \psi_{1+4j}] &= 2b_{2+4(i+j)}, & [\bar{\psi}_{3+4i}, \bar{\psi}_{3+4j}] &= -2b_{2+4(i+j+1)} \\ [d_{2+4i}, \psi_{1+4j}] &= -\bar{\psi}_{3+4(i+j)}, & [d_{2+4i}, \bar{\psi}_{3+4j}] &= -\psi_{1+4(i+j+1)}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

and it is worth noticing that the conventions we are using in this particular case are different from the general ones in the previous section (for example see eq. (5.1) and notice that $b_{2+4i} \neq \Lambda^{1+2i}$).

We choose the Drinfel'd-Sokolov gauge to be of the form

$$q_V = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi(\tilde{x}) & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

⁷In [4], the authors consider the algebra $C(2)^{(2)} = osp(2, 2)^{(2)}$. For simplicity we have chosen to consider instead $A(0, 1)^{(2)}$, since $C(2) \simeq A(0, 1)$ and $A(0, 1)$ is realized in terms of 3×3 matrices, while we need 4×4 matrices to realize $C(2)$.

$\Phi(\tilde{x})$ is a superfield which we can expand on fields as $\Phi(\tilde{x}) = \xi(x) + \theta u(x)$, where $u(x)$ is the usual KdV function and $\xi(x)$ is its superpartner.

Using the definition (3.27) for the bosonic flows corresponding to the elements of $\mathcal{Z}$, we find the flow corresponding to b_6 to be:

$$\partial_6 \Phi(\tilde{x}) = -D^6 \Phi + 3D^2(\Phi D \Phi)$$

which is the SKdV equation, and we find H , defined in eq. (2.16), to be

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \Phi d_{-2} - \frac{1}{8} \Phi D \Phi d_{-6} + \dots$$

where the ellipsis symbolises terms with lower grades, so the local conserved currents related to the first two bosonic flows are Φ and $\Phi D \Phi$, respectively.

In order to calculate the first non-trivial supersymmetric fermionic flow, $\bar{D}_3$, we need to find the non-local quantity ω , defined in eq. (3.3). For the first few grades, we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega = & -\frac{1}{2} D^{-2} \Phi \bar{\psi}_{-1} + \frac{1}{4} D^{-2} (\Phi D^{-2} \Phi) b_{-2} + \frac{1}{2} D^{-1} \Phi d_{-2} - \frac{1}{8} D^{-1} (\Phi D^{-2} \Phi) \psi_{-3} + \\ & + \frac{1}{96} D^{-1} (12 D^{-1} (\Phi D \Phi) - 3 \Phi D^{-1} (\Phi D^{-2} \Phi) + \Phi D^{-1} \Phi D^{-2} \Phi) \bar{\psi}_{-5} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

where again the ellipsis denotes terms of lower grade. Using this and eq. (3.27), we find the first non-trivial fermionic flow to be:

$$\bar{D}_3 \Phi = -D^3 \Phi + 2D^2 \Phi D^{-2} \Phi - D \Phi D^{-1} \Phi$$

This is exactly the non-local flow found in [8], where the fermionic flows were defined using pseudo-differential operators as flows related to the fourth root of the Lax operator. We can verify by direct calculation that this flow satisfies $\bar{D}_3^2 = -\partial_6$.

In section 4 we have shown that if we impose suitable boundary conditions on the fields, in this case assuming that $\Phi(\tilde{x})$ goes sufficiently fast to zero for $x \rightarrow \pm \infty$, then the components of $\omega|_{x=\pm\infty}$ are conserved quantities under all bosonic flows. It is instructive to check explicitly that this is true with respect to ∂_6 , since in order to show conservation we already need to use partial integration with non-local quantities, as described on page 13. We have done this calculation down to grade -5 . In this case the conservation depends on a considerable number of cancellations of terms, giving a non-trivial check of the conservation of $\omega|_{x=\pm\infty}$. Here we will restrict ourselves to show the conservation of the components of grade -1 , -2 and -3 only.

According to (4.10), the conserved quantity associated with $\Psi = \Psi_1$ is

$$J_\Psi = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \partial_x \text{str} (\Psi e^\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \partial_x \text{str} (\chi \omega) = -2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \Phi \quad (6.3)$$

which, using (4.19), gives rise to two scalar conserved quantities

$$I_\Psi = -2 \int d\tilde{x} D^{-1} \Phi, \quad I_{[\Psi, \Psi]} = 2I_\Lambda = 2 \int d\tilde{x} \Phi \quad (6.4)$$

Using proposition 5, I_Λ is a supersymmetric Grassmann-even conserved quantity while I_Ψ is a non-supersymmetric fermionic conserved quantity. This agrees with the results of [8],

where $I_\Psi \propto J_{1/2}$ and $I_\Lambda \propto H_1$, which is the Hamiltonian corresponding to the even flow $\partial_2 = \partial_x$.

ω has two different terms of principal degree 2, which gives rise to two independent Grassman-even conserved quantities. The first one is I_Λ , and the second is given by

$$J_{c_2} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \partial_x \text{str} (c_2 e^\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \partial_x \text{str} (c_2 \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \Phi D^{-2} \Phi \quad (6.5)$$

which has two scalar components. It is straightforward to check that

$$J_{c_2} = I_{c_2} + \theta I_{\bar{\Psi}_3} \quad (6.6)$$

which agrees with eq. (4.19) since $[c_2, \Psi] = -\bar{\Psi}_3$. Then,

$$I_{c_2} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tilde{x} D^{-1} (\Phi D^{-2} \Phi) \quad (6.7)$$

provides a non-supersymmetric ($[c_2, \Psi] \neq 0$) Grassmann-even conserved quantity. Actually, this non-local quantity was not mentioned in [8] and has not previously been shown to be a conserved quantity. Our construction ensures that it is conserved by all the bosonic flow equations of the hierarchy. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_6 J_{c_2} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \partial_6 (\Phi D^{-2} \Phi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \left[(D^6 \Phi - 3D^2(\Phi D\Phi)) D^{-2} \Phi + \Phi (D^4 \Phi - 3(\Phi D\Phi)) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx 2\Phi D^4 \Phi = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used partial integration, as well as the fact that $\Phi\Phi = D^2\Phi D^2\Phi = 0$. This ensures the conservation of both I_{c_2} and $I_{\bar{\Psi}_3}$.

Since $[\bar{\Psi}_3, \Psi] = 0$, $I_{\bar{\Psi}_3}$ is a non-local supersymmetric fermionic conserved quantity:

$$I_{\bar{\Psi}_3} = J_{\bar{\Psi}_3} = \int d\tilde{x} (\Phi D^{-2} \Phi) \quad (6.8)$$

As explained in page 14, this conserved quantity has scale dimension 3/2 and, hence, should be related to $J_{3/2}$ in [8]. The precise connection is

$$J_{\frac{3}{2}} = -I_{\bar{\Psi}_3} - 4 \int d\tilde{x} D(\omega(-1)\omega_d(-2)) \quad (6.9)$$

where $\omega(-1)$ and $\omega_d(-2)$ are the coefficients of $\bar{\Psi}_{-1}$ and d_{-2} in eq. (6.2), respectively. The conservation of $J_{\frac{3}{2}}$ follows directly from the conservation of the components of $\omega|_{x=\pm\infty}$.

7 Conclusions

For the entire class of supersymmetric Drinfel'd-Sokolov integrable hierarchies constructed by Delduc and Gallot in [5], we have defined an infinite sequence of additional Grassmann-odd and Grassmann-even flow equations, which are given by non-local equations, *i.e.*, which are not defined by super-differential polynomials of the dynamical variables. In this

sense, they have to be seen as generalizations of the fermionic flows constructed by Dargis and Mathieu for the supersymmetric KdV equation in [8]. All these flows commute with the bosonic ones originally considered in [5] and, hence, provide new fermionic and bosonic symmetry transformations for the hierarchy. In particular, one of the fermionic flows corresponds precisely to the built-in supersymmetry transformation and, in some cases, others provide additional supersymmetries. The new flow equations do not commute among themselves; instead, they close an infinite non-abelian superalgebra.

The supersymmetric hierarchies of [5] are associated with a (twisted) loop superalgebra $\mathcal{A}$, and two compatible gradations d_1 and d_0 . They are defined by means of fermionic Lax operators of the form $\mathcal{L} = D + q(x, \theta) + \Psi$ where $q(x, \theta)$ is a $N = 1$ superfield taking values in a subspace of $\mathcal{A}$, D is the superderivative, and Ψ is a constant d_1 -graded element of $\mathcal{A}$ whose square $\Lambda = [\Psi, \Psi]/2$ is semi-simple. Then, following our construction, there is a non-local flow associated with each element in $\mathcal{K} = \text{Ker}(\text{ad}\Lambda)$ with non-negative d_1 -grade, *i.e.*, in $\mathcal{K}^{\geq 0}$, and these flows close an infinite superalgebra isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}^{\geq 0}$. In contrast, the mutually commuting flows originally considered by the authors of [5] are associated with the elements in the centre of $\mathcal{K}^{\geq 0}$, which contains only even elements. Since the built-in supersymmetry transformation $\delta_\eta^{\text{SUSY}} = \eta D$ is identified with the flow equation associated with Ψ , it is easy to characterise the flows which are supersymmetric: those associated with the elements in $\mathcal{K}^{\geq 0}$ that commute with Ψ . Of course, all the commuting bosonic flows of [5] are supersymmetric.

We have also constructed an infinite series of non-local quantities which are conserved by all the commuting bosonic flows associated with the centre of $\mathcal{K}^{\geq 0}$. To be precise, there is a non-local conserved quantity for each element in $\mathcal{K}^{\geq 0}$, and only those conserved quantities associated with the elements that commute with Ψ are supersymmetric. Therefore, there is a one-to-one relationship between non-local flow equations and non-local conserved quantities, which suggest that the latter could be understood as the generators of the former. In the case of the supersymmetric KdV equation, this relationship was established in the work of Dargis and Mathieu [8] and Ramos [9] by using the super pseudo-differential Lax operator formalism. In our case, the precise nature of this relationship is one of the open questions that is left to be the subject of future work.

As an example of our construction, we have worked out in detail the structure of the non-local flow equations and conserved currents for the, so called, principal hierarchies, which are associated with the affine superalgebras that have a fermionic simple root system; namely, those listed in table 2. Then, d_1 is the principal gradation and Ψ is the cyclic element, *i.e.*, the sum of the (affine) simple root generators. Actually, these hierarchies can be seen as the direct generalization of the original Drinfel'd-Sokolov construction, and their commuting bosonic flows were defined first by Inami and Kanno [4, 15]. In order to proceed, we have constructed explicit matrix-representations for the affine Lie superalgebras with fermionic simple root systems which, as far as we know, are not available in the literature. Using them, we have shown that $\mathcal{K} = \text{Ker}(\text{ad}\Lambda)$ is a subalgebra of the infinite superoscillator algebra constructed by Kac and van de Leur in [10], *i.e.*, of the principal Heisenberg superalgebra of $a_{\infty|\infty}$ without central extension [11]. The precise form of the subalgebra depends on the particular superalgebra we have started with. This generalises the well known role of the oscillator and Heisenberg algebras in the KP hierarchy [19] and the generalized hierarchies of KdV type [2, 12, 14]. It also suggests the possibility of understanding our hierarchies of local and non-local flows as reductions of the super KP hierarchy of super Hirota bilinear equations constructed in the second article of [10].

Finally, let us comment about the construction of solutions for the equations of these hierarchies. According to (3.21), a particular solution is specified by Θ , which satisfies the system of first order super differential equations given by (3.15). In order to solve it, consider the decomposition $+\mathcal{A} = +\mathcal{A}^{<0} \oplus +\mathcal{A}^{\geq 0}$, where $+\mathcal{A}^{<0}$ ($+\mathcal{A}^{\geq 0}$) is the subalgebra formed by the elements with negative (non-negative) d_1 -grade. Next, let G_- and G_+ be the subgroups obtained by (formally) exponentiating the subalgebras $+\mathcal{A}^{<0}$ and $+\mathcal{A}^{\geq 0}$, respectively, and define $G = G_- G_+$, which is the super analogue of the big cell of a loop group [13]; if g belongs to G , we shall write $g = g_- g_+$ for its unique factorization with $g_{\pm} \in G_{\pm}$. Then, the general solution of (3.15) is

$$\Theta = (\Gamma_0 g)_-, \quad (7.1)$$

where g is any constant element in G_- and $\Gamma_0 \in G_+$ is the solution of the associated linear problem corresponding to $\Theta = \mathbb{I}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_u|_{\Theta=\mathbb{I}} \Gamma_0 = (D_u - \hat{u}) \Gamma_0 = 0; \quad (7.2)$$

in the parlance of the second and third articles in [12], Γ_0 is the ‘vacuum’ solution of the associated linear problem. Eq. (7.1) provides an infinite set of solutions parametrized by the elements of G_- . By analogy with the bosonic hierarchies, the elements obtained by exponentiating super vertex operators should be related to the multi-soliton solutions [12].

Eq. (7.2) can be easily solved for the principal hierarchies. To be specific, let us restrict ourselves to the flows associated with the elements of the form ψ_{-1+2i} , $\bar{\psi}_{1+2i}$, and $b_i = \Lambda^{\frac{i}{2}}$, which is a subset of $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}} \cup \mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{K}^{\geq 0}$; the resulting expressions can be easily modified to cover the complete set of flows. In order to solve (7.2), it is convenient to introduce an infinite number of even times t_i associated with b_i , and two infinite sets of odd times θ_i and τ_i associated with ψ_i and $\bar{\psi}_i$, respectively. Then, since $D_1 = D$, the flows can be realized on the set of functions of these variables according to

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}, & D_1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} + \theta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} \\ D_i &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} + 2\theta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i+1}} + \sum_{j \neq 1} \theta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i+j}}, & i &\neq 1 \\ \bar{D}_i &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i} - \sum_j \tau_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i+j}}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

which trivially satisfy eq. (5.3). Using these variables, the vacuum solution is

$$\Gamma_0 = \exp \sum_i (t_i b_i - \theta_i \psi_i - \tau_i \bar{\psi}_i), \quad (7.4)$$

where $\theta_1 = \theta$ and $t_2 = x$.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank J. Evans for valuable discussions and F. Delduc for kindly sending us an early version of ref. [5]. This research is supported partially by the EC Commission via the TMR Grant FMRX-CT96-0012, CICYT (AEN96-1673), and DGI-CYT (PB96-0960).

A Proof of Proposition 1

First of all, since $\text{Ker}(\text{ad}\Psi) \subset \mathcal{K}$, let us choose a vector subspace $\mathcal{V}$ such that

$$\mathcal{K} = \text{Ker}(\text{ad}\Psi) \oplus \mathcal{V}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

which implies that

$$\mathfrak{S} = \text{Im}(\text{ad}\Psi) \cap \mathcal{K} = [\Psi, \mathcal{V}]. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Moreover, if $\mathfrak{R} = \text{Ker}(\text{ad}\Psi)$, it is straightforward to check that

$$\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathfrak{R}, \quad [\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R}] \subset \mathfrak{R}, \quad [\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}] \subset \mathfrak{S}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The proof of the Proposition goes by induction on the d_1 -grade of the components of (3.3). The highest grade component reads

$$H^{k-1} + [\hat{\omega}^{-1}, \Psi] = 0, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Which provides the first constraint, $H^{k-1} \in \mathfrak{S}$, and uniquely fixes the component of ω^{-1} in $\mathcal{V}$, namely $\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}$, as a local function of H^{k-1} . Then, consider the transformation

$$\text{e}^{\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}} (D + \Psi + H) \text{e}^{-\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}} = D + \Psi + H_{(1)}; \quad (\text{A.5})$$

it is obvious that $H_{(1)}^{k-1} = 0$. Next, suppose that $H^{>j} = 0$ for $j \geq 0$ and consider the transformation

$$\text{e}^{\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k+j}} (D + \Psi + H) \text{e}^{-\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k+j}} = D + \Psi + H^j + [\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k+j}, \Psi] + \dots, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where the ellipsis indicates terms with d_1 -grade $< j$. Therefore, if H^j is constrained such that $H^j \in \mathfrak{S}$, the condition that the component of grade j in the right-hand side vanishes uniquely fixes $\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k+j}$.

Following this procedure, we can construct a transformation

$$\text{e}^{\omega^*} = \text{e}^{\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k}} \text{e}^{\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k+1}} \dots \text{e}^{\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

such that

$$\text{e}^{\hat{\omega}^*} (D + \Psi + H) \text{e}^{-\omega^*} = D + \Psi + H^* \quad (\text{A.8})$$

and $H^{*\geq 0} = 0$.

Next, let us constrain the components of H^* in $\mathcal{V}$ with d_1 -grade $\geq -k$ to vanish, *i.e.*,

$$H_{\mathcal{V}}^{*\geq -k} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad H^{*\geq -k} \in \mathfrak{R}, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

and consider the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k-1}} e^{\hat{\omega}_{\mathfrak{R}}^{-1}} (D + \Psi + H^*) e^{-\omega_{\mathfrak{R}}^{-1}} e^{-\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k-1}} \\ = e^{\hat{\omega}_{\mathfrak{R}}^{-1}} (D + H^*) e^{-\omega_{\mathfrak{R}}^{-1}} + \Psi - D\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k-1} + [\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k-1}, \Psi] + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where the ellipsis indicates terms with d_1 -grade $< -k-1$. Then, taking into account (A.3), the condition that the terms with d_1 -grade -1 and the terms in $\mathcal{V}$ with grade $-k-1$ vanish require

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathcal{V}}^{*-k-1} - D\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k-1} &= 0 \\ H^{*-1} - D\omega_{\mathfrak{R}}^{-1} + [\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k-1}, \Psi] &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

which uniquely fixes $\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k-1}$ and $\omega_{\mathfrak{R}}^{-1}$ as non-local functions of $H_{\mathcal{V}}^{*-k-1}$ and H^{*-1} . Finally, suppose that $H^{*>-j} = H_{\mathcal{V}}^{*>-k-j} = 0$ for some $j > 1$, and consider

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k-j}} e^{\hat{\omega}_{\mathfrak{R}}^{-j}} (D + \Psi + H^*) e^{-\omega_{\mathfrak{R}}^{-j}} e^{-\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k-j}} \\ = e^{\hat{\omega}_{\mathfrak{R}}^{-j}} (D + H^*) e^{-\omega_{\mathfrak{R}}^{-j}} + \Psi + -D\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k-j} + [\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k-j}, \Psi] + \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

In this case, the vanishing of the terms with d_1 -grade $-j$ and the terms in $\mathcal{V}$ with grade $-k-j$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathcal{V}}^{*-k-j} - D\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k-j} &= 0 \\ H^{*-j} - D\omega_{\mathfrak{R}}^{-j} + [\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k-j}, \Psi] &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

which uniquely fixes $\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k-j}$ and $\omega_{\mathfrak{R}}^{-j}$.

To summarize, we have constructed a transformation

$$e^{-\omega} = e^{-\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}} \dots e^{-\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k}} e^{-\omega_{\mathfrak{R}}^{-1}} e^{-\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k-1}} e^{-\omega_{\mathfrak{R}}^{-2}} e^{-\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{-k-2}} \dots \quad (\text{A.14})$$

that satisfies (3.3), *i.e.*,

$$e^{\hat{\omega}} (D + \Psi + H) e^{-\omega} = D + \Psi, \quad (\text{A.15})$$

which completes the proof of Proposition 1;

B Lie superalgebras

In this appendix we will recall a few basic facts about Lie superalgebras which are of special relevance to this paper. For a complete introduction to the subject we refer to e.g. [16, 20]

B.1 Bilinear Form

A Lie superalgebra is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebra $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{\bar{1}}$, equipped with a graded commutator, which satisfies the graded Jacobi identity. We are here interested only in the *contragredient* or *basic classical* Lie superalgebras, which are those that are equipped with a non-degenerate bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ which is invariant, *i.e.*:

$$\langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle = \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle$$

Consider such a Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{G}$. Given a matrix-representation R of $\mathcal{G}$, the bilinear form in this representation is defined by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_R = \text{str}(R(X)R(Y))$$

where str is the supertrace. A standard matrix representation can be written in the form:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha \\ \beta & b \end{pmatrix}$$

where a , b , α and β are matrices, and the even subspace is formed of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$; in this representation the supertrace is defined by

$$\text{str}(X) = \text{tr}(a) - \text{tr}(b)$$

All bilinear forms are equal up to normalization. The Killing form is the bilinear form in the adjoint representation; it may be identically zero.

Note that while the bilinear form is defined only on the superalgebra, it is always possible to work in some matrix representation, and in that case the supertrace is defined on the universal enveloping algebra.

If h is an element of the Cartan subalgebra $H \subset \mathcal{G}$ and E_α is a root generator, then we have

$$[h, E_\alpha] = \alpha(h)E_\alpha$$

where $\alpha(\cdot)$ is a linear functional on H . We denote by h_α the element in H such that $\alpha(h) = \langle h, h_\alpha \rangle$. We have

$$[E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] = \langle E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha} \rangle h_\alpha,$$

where $\langle E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha} \rangle \neq 0$.

The bilinear form gives rise to an ‘inner product’ (which is in general not positive definite) by

$$\alpha \cdot \beta = \langle h_\alpha, h_\beta \rangle$$

It follows directly that

$$[h_\alpha, E_\beta] = \beta(h_\alpha) E_\beta = (\alpha \cdot \beta) E_\beta$$

B.2 Root Systems of Affine Lie Superalgebras.

In this section, we will recall some useful facts about the root systems of Lie superalgebras. We will give the standard realizations of the root systems of some finite Lie superalgebras, which is useful for the calculation of the signature of the root-spaces, see page 17, and we

will give the fermionic simple root systems, as well as explicit matrix realizations of the principal elements Ψ .

Most of the construction in section 5 can be done without knowing the precise form of the principal element Ψ . In general, it is sufficient to know that we can find a matrix representation where Ψ takes the form

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \psi \\ \tilde{\psi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ are unspecified matrices, and that

$$\Psi^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where Λ_1 and Λ_2 are principal elements in the Lie algebras $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$, together with the knowledge that we have of the structure of the bosonic case. There are, however, a few cases where it turns out to be necessary to actually find an expression for Ψ in order to do explicit calculations. Explicit calculations are necessary to show that the ‘spurious’ element of $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}$ in the case of $D(m+1, m)^{(1)}$ is an element of $\mathcal{Z}$, see page 34, and to find the extra elements of $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}$ and their commutation relations in the case of $A(2m-1, 2m-1)^{(2)}$, see page 33.

At the same time, we are not aware of any reference in which one can find explicit expressions for the matrix-representations of fermionic simple root systems of affine Lie superalgebras. Since Lie superalgebras with fermionic simple root systems are important in several applications of Lie superalgebras, for example in supersymmetric Toda theory or supersymmetric hamiltonian reduction, we have chosen to give these expressions in some detail.

Note that there are other matrix realizations of Lie superalgebras which may be useful when studying supersymmetric integrable hierarchies, see [21].

In all cases $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ denotes an orthonormal basis of a vector space V_+ , while $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m$ denotes a basis of a vector space V_- with negative definite inner product, normalized so $\delta_i \cdot \delta_j = -\delta_{ij}$ (where δ_{ij} is the usual Kronecker delta-symbol). In addition, $e_{i,j}$ will denote a matrix (the dimensions will be clear from the context) with one in the i, j ’th position, and zero elsewhere.

B.2.1 Root systems

$A(n-1, m-1)$

even roots: $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \delta_i - \delta_j, i \neq j$.

odd roots: $\pm(\varepsilon_i - \delta_j)$.

$B(n, m)$

even roots: $\pm\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j, \pm\varepsilon_i, \pm\delta_i \pm \delta_j, \pm 2\delta_i, i \neq j$.

odd roots: $\pm\varepsilon_i \pm \delta_j, \pm\delta_i$.

$D(n, m)$

even roots: $\pm\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j, \pm\delta_i \pm \delta_j, \pm 2\delta_i, i \neq j$.

odd roots: $\pm\varepsilon_i \pm \delta_j$.

For $B(n, m)$ and $D(n, m)$ the root space is $V_+ \oplus V_-$. In the case of $A(n-1, m-1)$, the root space is the subspace of $V_+ \oplus V_-$ of co-dimension 1, orthogonal to $\sum_i \varepsilon_i - \sum_j \delta_j$.

The upper limit on the dimension of $\phi(\mathcal{K}_1)$ is given by $2 \min(d'_+, d'_-)$, where (d'_+, d'_-) is the signature of the root space of the superalgebra. If we denote by $(d_+, d_-) = (\dim(V_+), \dim(V_-))$ the signature of $V_+ \oplus V_-$, then clearly $\min(d'_+, d'_-) \leq \min(d_+, d_-)$, and we can therefore safely use the signature of $V_+ \oplus V_-$ as the upper limit in the general case.

We will now give the fermionic simple root systems and the explicit matrices corresponding to the principal elements considered in this paper. Let us remind the reader that the simple root system for an untwisted affine Lie superalgebra can be chosen to consist of the simple root system of the corresponding finite Lie superalgebra, with the addition of an ‘affine root’, which can be realized as $\lambda E_{-\theta}$, where λ is the loop-parameter, and $E_{-\theta}$ is the generator corresponding to minus the highest root. In the case of an affine Lie superalgebra twisted with an automorphism of order k , the automorphism gives rise to a grading of the Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{G}_i$ where $\mathcal{G}_0$ is invariant under τ , and each $\mathcal{G}_i$ forms a representation of $\mathcal{G}_0$. As a simple root system of the twisted affine Lie superalgebra we can take a simple root system of $\mathcal{G}_0$ in addition to the ‘affine root’ which we can realize as $\lambda E_{-\theta}$, where $E_{-\theta}$ is in this case the (unique) lowest weight in the $\mathcal{G}_0$ -representation provided by $\mathcal{G}_1$.

B.2.2 $A(m, m)^{(1)}$

Fermionic simple root system: $(\varepsilon_i - \delta_i), i = 1, \dots, m+1; (\delta_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}), i = 1, \dots, m$. Matrix realization:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\varepsilon_i - \delta_i} &= e_{i, m+1+i} \\ E_{\delta_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}} &= e_{m+1+i, i+1} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

The realization of the ‘affine root’ is $\lambda e_{2m+2, 1}$. In this basis Ψ takes the simple form:

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I} \\ \Lambda_{A_m} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where Λ_{A_m} is the usual principal element in A_m :

$$\Lambda_{A_m} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

B.2.3 $A(2m-1, 2m-1)^{(2)}$

The invariant subalgebra is $D(m, m) = osp(2m, 2m)$. The simple roots of $D(m, m)$ are $(\varepsilon_i - \delta_{i+1}), i = 1, \dots, m-1; (\delta_i - \varepsilon_i), i = 1, \dots, m; (\delta_m + \varepsilon_m)$. The matrix realization of

these roots are:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\varepsilon_i - \delta_{i+1}} &= e_{4m-i, 2m+1-i} + e_{i, 2m+1+i} \\
E_{\delta_i - \varepsilon_i} &= e_{2m+1-i, 4m+1-i} - e_{2m+i, i} \\
E_{\delta_m + \varepsilon_m} &= e_{m, 3m+1} - e_{3m, m+1}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

while the matrix realization of the affine root is $\lambda(e_{2m, 2m+1} - e_{4m, 1})$, such that Ψ takes the form of eq. (B.1) with:

$$\psi = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ \hline & & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ \hline \lambda & & & & & 1 \end{array} \right) \quad \tilde{\psi} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} -1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & -1 & -1 & & \\ \hline & & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 & \\ \hline -\lambda & & & & & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

where the blocks are $m \times m$ matrices.

B.2.4 $A(2m-2, 2m-1)^{(2)}$

The invariant subalgebra is $B(m-1, m) = osp(2m-1, 2m)$. The simple roots of $B(m-1, m)$ are $(\varepsilon_i - \delta_{i+1}), i = 1, \dots, m-1; (\delta_i - \varepsilon_i), i = 1, \dots, m-1; \delta_m$. The matrix realization is:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\varepsilon_i - \delta_{i+1}} &= e_{4m-1-i, 2m-i} + e_{i, 2m+i} \\
E_{\delta_i - \varepsilon_i} &= e_{2m-i, 4m-i} - e_{2m-1+i, i} \\
E_{\delta_m} &= e_{m, 3m} - e_{3m-1, m}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

while the realization of the affine root is $\lambda(e_{2m-1, 2m} - e_{4m-1, 1})$, such that Ψ takes the form in eq. (B.1) with:

$$\psi = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ \hline \lambda & & & & & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \tilde{\psi} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} -1 & & & & & \\ 0 & \ddots & & & & \\ & \ddots & -1 & & & \\ & & 0 & -1 & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & & \\ & & & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & & & \ddots & 1 \\ \hline -\lambda & & & & & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

where the ‘big’ blocks are $(m-1) \times m$ -matrices for ψ , and $m \times (m-1)$ -matrices for $\tilde{\psi}$.

B.2.5 $B(m, m)^{(1)}$

The simple roots of $B(m, m)$ are: $(\varepsilon_i - \delta_i), i = 1, \dots, m; (\delta_i - e_{i+1}), i = 1, \dots, m-1; \delta_m$. As matrix realization of the simple roots we take:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\varepsilon_i - \delta_i} &= e_{4m+2-i, 2m+2-i} + e_{i, 2m+1+i} \\
E_{\delta_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}} &= e_{2m+1-i, 4m+2-i} - e_{2m+1+i, i+1} \\
E_{\delta_m} &= e_{m+1, 3m+2} - e_{3m+1, m+1}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

while the matrix realization of the affine root is

$$\lambda e_{-\theta} = \lambda(e_{2m+1,2m+2} + e_{4m+1,1})$$

where the highest root is $\theta = (\varepsilon_1 + \delta_1)$. Ψ takes the form of eq. (B.1) with:

$$\psi = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 1 \\ \hline \lambda & & & & & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \tilde{\psi} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & -1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & & -1 & & \\ & & & 0 & -1 & \\ \hline & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline \lambda & & & & & & \end{array} \right)$$

where the blocks are $m \times m$ -matrices.

B.2.6 $D(m+1, m)^{(1)}$

As simple roots of $D(m+1, m)$ we take: $(\varepsilon_i - \delta_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$; $(\delta_i - \varepsilon_{i+1})$, $i = 1, \dots, m$; $(\delta_m + \varepsilon_{m+1})$. The matrix realization is:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\varepsilon_i - \delta_i} &= e_{i,2m+2+i} + e_{4m+3-i,2m+3-i} \\ E_{\delta_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}} &= e_{2m+2-i,4m+3-i} - e_{2m+2+i,i+1} \\ E_{\delta_m + \varepsilon_{m+1}} &= e_{m+1,3m+3} - e_{3m+2,m+2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

While the realization of the affine root is $E_{-\theta} = \lambda(e_{2m+2,2m+3} + e_{4m+2,1})$, where the highest root is $\theta = \varepsilon_1 + \delta_1$. In this realization, Ψ takes the form of eq. (B.1) with:

$$\psi = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 1 \\ \hline \lambda & & & & & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \tilde{\psi} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & -1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & & -1 & & \\ & & & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ \hline & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline \lambda & & & & & & \end{array} \right)$$

where the ‘big’ blocks are $m \times m$ -matrices.

B.2.7 $D(m, m)^{(2)}$

In this case, the invariant algebra is not a regular subalgebra of $D(m, m)$, which makes the simple root system slightly more complicated. We give first the fermionic simple roots of $D(m, m)$: $(\varepsilon_i - \delta_{i+1})$, $i = 1, \dots, m-1$; $(\delta_i - \varepsilon_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$; $\delta_m + \varepsilon_m$. The matrix realization is:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\varepsilon_i - \delta_{i+1}} &= e_{4m-i,2m+1-i} + e_{i,2m+1+i} \\ E_{\delta_i - \varepsilon_i} &= e_{2m+1-i,4m+1-i} - e_{2m+i,i} \\ E_{\delta_m + \varepsilon_m} &= e_{m,3m+1} - e_{3m,m+1} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

The automorphism τ is the diagram-automorphism $\varepsilon_m \rightarrow -\varepsilon_m$, and a simple root system of the invariant subalgebra is found by replacing $E_{\delta_m + \varepsilon_m}$ (which is not invariant under τ) by

$$E_{\delta_m + \varepsilon_m} + E_{\delta_m - \varepsilon_m} = e_{m,3m+1} + e_{m+1,3m+1} - e_{3m,m+1} - e_{3m,m+2}$$

The realization of the ‘affine root’ is $\lambda(e_{m,2m+1} - e_{m+1,2m+1} - e_{4m,m} + e_{4m,m+1})$. Ψ takes the form of eq. (B.1) with:

$$\psi = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ \hline \lambda & & 0 & 1 & & \\ -\lambda & & & 1 & & \\ \hline & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{array} \right) \quad \tilde{\psi} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} -1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & -1 & -1 & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & & & 1 \\ \hline & & -\lambda & \lambda & & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

where the blocks are $m \times m$ -matrices.

B.2.8 $A(2m, 2m)^{(4)}$

It is convenient to split $A(2m, 2m)$ into an $A(2m, 2m-1)$ subalgebra plus an extra row and column. The invariant subalgebra is then a $B(m, m) = osp(2m+1, 2m)$ subalgebra of $A(2m, 2m-1)$.

We have already found the simple roots of $B(m, m)$ in subsection B.2.5. We use the same basis here, supplemented with the affine root $\lambda(e_{2m+1,4m+2} + e_{4m+2,1})$. In this realization, Ψ takes the form of eq. (B.1) with:

$$\psi = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & \lambda \end{array} \right) \quad \tilde{\psi} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & -1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & -1 & & & \\ & & 0 & -1 & & \\ \hline & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & 1 \\ \hline \lambda & & & & & \end{array} \right)$$

where the blocks are $m \times m$ -matrices.

C Ker(ad Λ)

C.1 Construction of elements in $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}$

In this subsection we describe the construction of the elements of $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}$. We will use a matrix realization of $\mathcal{A}$ of the form

$$x = x_{\bar{0}} + x_{\bar{1}} \in \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}} : \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha \\ \beta & b \end{pmatrix} \quad x_{\bar{0}} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \quad x_{\bar{1}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Affine algebra	Exponents
$A_m^{(1)}$	$1, 2, 3, \dots, m \bmod m+1$
$A_{2m}^{(2)}$	$1, 3, 5, \dots, 2m-1, 2m+3, \dots, 4m+1 \bmod 4m+2$
$A_{2m-1}^{(2)}$	$1, 3, 5, \dots, 4m-3 \bmod 4m-2$
$B_m^{(1)}, C_m^{(1)}$	$1, 3, 5, \dots, 2m-1 \bmod 2m$
$D_m^{(1)}$	$1, 3, 5, \dots, 2m-3, m-1 \bmod 2m-2$
$D_{m+1}^{(2)}$	$1, 3, 5, \dots, 2m+1 \bmod 2m+2$

Table 3: Exponents of the affine algebras that provide the bosonic part of the affine Lie superalgebras with a fermionic simple root system.

We write

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \psi \\ \tilde{\psi} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and we find

$$\Lambda = [\Psi, \Psi] = \begin{pmatrix} \psi\tilde{\psi} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\psi}\psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where Λ_i are principal elements in $\mathcal{G}_i$. $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}$ consists of elements

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $x_i \in \ker(\text{ad}(\Lambda_i))$, or, in the case of $A(2m-2, 2m-1)^{(2)}$, of elements $X + u$, where u is an element of the $U(1)^{(1)}$ subalgebra of $A(2m-2, 2m-1)^{(2)}$.

$\ker(\text{ad}(\Lambda_i))$ are well known, and are generated by the elements $\Lambda_i^{e_j}$, where $\{e_j\}$ is the set of exponents of $\mathcal{G}_i$, with the following exceptions:

- 1) $D_m^{(1)}$ has exponents $n = 1, 3, 5, \dots, 2m-3$ and $m-1 \bmod 2m-2$. There is an element $\tilde{c}_{2m-2}$ of $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}$ corresponding to the spurious exponent $m-1$, which is not associated to Λ^{m-1} .
- 2) $A_{2m-1}^{(2)}$ has exponents $n = 1, 3, 5, \dots, 4m-3 \bmod 4m-2$. The element of $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}$ corresponding to the exponent $2m-1$ is $\lambda^{2m-1} - \frac{1}{2m} \text{tr}(\lambda^{2m-1}) \mathbb{I}$.

We have collected the exponents of the relevant bosonic affine Lie algebras in table 3, and we refer to [1, 22] for further details.

Taking commutators $[\Psi, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}]$, $[[\Psi, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}], \mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}]$, etc. ⁸ we can now construct more elements of $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}$. The elements found in this way were summarized on page 17. In table 4 we compare the number of these generators, modulo twice the Coxeter number, with the maximal possible number $2 \min(d_+, d_-)$ found in the previous subsection. We see that the number of fermionic generators saturate the limit in all cases except $A(2m-1, 2m-1)^{(2)}$. In this last case we find by explicit calculations two extra series of fermionic generators

⁸In the particular case of $A(1, 1)^{(1)}$, one has to add the odd generator $\bar{\Psi} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \psi \\ -\tilde{\psi} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ of principal grade 1, together with $[\bar{\Psi}, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}]$, $[[\bar{\Psi}, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}], \mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}]$, etc.

Superalgebra		$2 \min(d_+, d_-)$
$A(m, m)^{(1)}$	$2m+2$	$2m+2$
$A(2m, 2m)^{(4)}$	$4m+2$	$4m+2$
$A(2m-1, 2m-1)^{(2)}$	$4m-2$	$4m$
$A(2m-2, 2m-1)^{(2)}$	$4m-2$	$4m-2$
$B(m, m)^{(1)}$	$2m$	$2m$
$D(m+1, m)^{(1)}$	$2m$	$2m$
$D(m, m)^{(2)}$	$2m$	$2m$

Table 4: The second column gives the number of generators in $\phi(\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}})$ of the form $\phi(\Psi)$, $\phi(\bar{\Psi})$, $\phi([\Psi, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}])$, $\phi([\Psi, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}], \mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}])$, $\phi([\bar{\Psi}, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}])$, $\phi([\bar{\Psi}, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}], \mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}])$, etc., while the third column gives the upper limit $2 \min(d_+, d_-)$ on the number of elements of $\phi(\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}})$. As we see, the second and third columns agree in all cases except $A(2m-1, 2m-1)^{(2)}$.

with grades $2m-1$ and $6m-3$, both modulo $8m-4$. In the matrix realization given in section B.2.3 these extra elements are:

$$\xi_i, \bar{\xi}_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_i \\ \beta_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{C.1})$$

and

$$\alpha_{2m-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ -\lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \beta_{2m-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda & -\lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{C.2})$$

and in addition:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{2m-1+n(8m-4)} &= \lambda^{2n} \alpha_{2m-1} \\ \beta_{2m-1+n(8m-4)} &= \lambda^{2n} \beta_{2m-1} \\ \alpha_{6m-3+n(8m-4)} &= \lambda^{2n+1} \alpha_{2m-1} \\ \beta_{6m-3+n(8m-4)} &= -\lambda^{2n+1} \beta_{2m-1} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.3})$$

C.2 Commutation Relations

$\mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}$ is an Abelian algebra, so we need to find only the commutation relations $[\mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}]$ and $[\mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}}]$.

Remarkably, we find that we can always choose the elements ψ_{-1+2i} and $\bar{\psi}_{1+2i}$ to be

of the following simple form:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{-1+2i} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \psi(\tilde{\psi}\psi)^{i-1} \\ \tilde{\psi}(\psi\tilde{\psi})^{i-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \bar{\psi}_{1+2i} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \psi(\tilde{\psi}\psi)^i \\ -\tilde{\psi}(\psi\tilde{\psi})^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}\quad (\text{C.4})$$

Note that $\psi(\tilde{\psi}\psi)^i = \psi\Lambda_2^i = \Lambda_1^i\psi$ and $\tilde{\psi}(\psi\tilde{\psi})^i = \tilde{\psi}\Lambda_1^i = \Lambda_2^i\tilde{\psi}$. One can easily verify that the commutation relations of these generators are the ones given in equation (5.1). In the case of the algebra $A(2m-1, 2m-1)^{(2)}$, where we have extra fermionic generators ξ_i and $\bar{\xi}_i$, explicit calculations give the commutation relations eq. (5.2).

In general $\mathcal{K}^{2i}$ is generated by $c_{2i} = \Lambda_1^i + 0$ ⁹ and $d_{2j} = 0 + \Lambda_2^j$ where i and j are exponents of respectively $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$, and $\mathcal{Z}^{2i}$ by $b_{2i} = c_{2i} + d_{2i}$. The exceptions, which follows directly from the exceptions in the bosonic case described in the previous section, are:

- 1) For the algebra $D(m+1, m)^{(1)}$ there is an ‘extra’ element $\tilde{c}_{2m} + 0 \in \mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}$ which is not related to powers of Λ_i . In the matrix realization given in section B.2.6, $\tilde{c}_{2m}$ has the same form as β_{2m-1} in equation (C.2).
- 2) For the algebra $A(2m-1, 2m)^{(2)}$, $\mathcal{K}^{4m-2}$ is generated by

$$c'_{4m-2} = \left(\Lambda_1^{2m-1} - \frac{1}{2m} \text{tr}(\Lambda_1^{2m-1}) \mathbb{I} \right) + 0$$

and the $u(1)$ generator

$$d'_{4m-2} = \frac{1}{2m} \mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{2m-1} \mathbb{I}$$

- 3) For the algebra $A(2m-1, 2m-1)^{(2)}$, $\mathcal{K}^{4m-2}$ is generated by

$$c'_{4m-2} = \left(\Lambda_1^{2m-1} - \frac{1}{2m} \text{tr}(\Lambda_1^{2m-1}) \mathbb{I} \right) + 0$$

and

$$d'_{4m-2} = 0 + \left(\Lambda_2^{2m-1} - \frac{1}{2m} \text{tr}(\Lambda_2^{2m-1}) \right)$$

Using these expressions, it is straightforward to find which elements of $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}$ are in the centre. The only exception is the ‘extra’ element in $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{0}}$ in the case of $D(m+1, m)^{(1)}$. Here, a simple calculation shows that $\tilde{c}_{2m}\psi = \tilde{\psi}\tilde{c}_{2m} = 0$, which shows that $\tilde{c}_{2m} + 0 \in \mathcal{Z}$.

It is easy to verify by direct calculation that

$$\begin{aligned}[c_{2i}, \psi_{-1+2j}] &= \bar{\psi}_{1+2(i+j-1)} \\ [c_{2i}, \bar{\psi}_{1+2j}] &= -\psi_{-1+2(i+j+1)}\end{aligned}\quad (\text{C.5})$$

while the commutation relations of d_{2i} follow directly by observing that $c_{2i} + d_{2i} \in \mathcal{Z}$. Using an explicit realization of ξ_i and $\bar{\xi}_i$ we find also

$$[c_{2i}, \xi_j] = [c_{2i}, \bar{\xi}_j] = 0 \quad (\text{C.6})$$

⁹In order to simplify the notation, and when the meaning is otherwise clear, we will sometimes write elements $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$ in the form $a + b$.

Moreover, a direct calculation shows that in the algebras $A(2m-1, 2m)^{(2)}$ and $A(2m-1, 2m-1)^{(2)}$ it is possible to choose the normalizations $c_{4m-2} = a_{4m-2} c'_{4m-2}$ and $d_{4m-2} = b_{4m-2} d'_{4m-2}$ such that these elements also satisfy the commutation relations given above.

References

- [1] V.G. Drinfel'd and V.V. Sokolov, *J. Soviet Math.* **30** (1984) 1975.
- [2] M.F. de Groot, T.J. Hollowood, and J.L. Miramontes, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **145** (1992) 57;
N.J. Burroughs, M.F. de Groot, T.J. Hollowood, and J.L. Miramontes, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **153** (1993) 187; *Phys. Lett. B* **277** (1992) 89.
- [3] L.A. Dickey, *Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems*, Advanced Series in Math. Phys. Vol. 12 (World Scientific, 1991);
L. Fehér, J. Harnad and I. Marshall, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **154** (1993) 181;
L. Fehér and I. Marshall, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **183** (1997) 423;
H. Aratyn, L.A. Ferreira, J.F. Gomes and A.H. Zimerman, *J. Math. Phys.* **38** (1997) 1559;
F. Delduc, L. Fehér and L. Gallot, *Nonstandard Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction*, preprint ENSLAPP-L-658, DIAS-STP-97-12, solv-int/9708002.
- [4] T. Inami and H. Kanno, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **136** (1991) 519.
- [5] F. Delduc and L. Gallot, *Supersymmetric Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction*, preprint ENSLAPP-L-668/97, solv-int/9802013.
- [6] Yu. I. Manin and A.O. Radul, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **98** (1985) 65;
K. Uno, H. Yamada and K. Ikeda, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **124** (1989) 57;
M. Mulase, *J. Diff. Geometry* **34** (1991) 651;
J. Rabin, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **137** (1991) 533.
- [7] P.H.M. Kersten, *Phys. Lett.* **134A** (1988) 25.
- [8] P. Dargis and P. Mathieu, *Phys. Lett.* **176A** (1993) 67.
- [9] E. Ramos, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A9** (1994) 3235.
- [10] V.G. Kac and J.W. van de Leur, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **37** (1987) 99; *Super boson-fermion correspondence of type B*, in 'Infinite dimensional Lie algebras and groups', Adv. Ser. in Math. Phys. **7** (1989) 369, World Scientific.
- [11] M.J. Bergvelt, *J. Math. Phys.* **30** (1989) 812.
- [12] T.J. Hollowood and J.L. Miramontes, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **157** (1993) 99;
L.A. Ferreira, J.L. Miramontes, and J. Sánchez Guillén, *J. Math. Phys.* **38** (1997) 882;
J.L. Miramontes, *Nucl. Phys.* **B547** (1999) 623.

- [13] G. Wilson, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. **A 315** (1985) 383; *Habillage et fonctions τ* , *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris* **299 (I)** (1984) 587; *The τ -Functions of the gAKNS Equations*, in ‘*Verdier memorial conference on integrable systems*’ (O. Babelon, P. Cartier, and Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, eds.), Birkhauser (1993) 131.
- [14] V.G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, *Exceptional hierarchies of soliton equations*, in ‘*Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*’, Vol. **49** (1989) 191.
- [15] T. Inami and H. Kanno, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **7**, suppl. 1A (1992) 419; *J. Physics A* **25** (1992) 3729; *Nucl. Phys. B* **359** (1991) 201.
- [16] V.G. Kac, *Adv. Math.* **26** (1978) 8;
L. Frappat, P. Sorba and A. Sciarrino, *Dictionary on Lie Superalgebras*, hep-th/9607161; preprint ENSLAPP-AL-600/96, DSF-T-30/96;
J.F. Cornwell, *Group theory in Physics Vol. III: Supersymmetries and Infinite Dimensional Algebras*, Academic Press 1989.
- [17] P. Mathieu, *J. Math. Phys.* **29** (1988) 2499;
A. Bilal and J.-L. Gervais, *Phys. Lett.* **211B** (1988) 95.
- [18] J.M. Figueroa-O’Farril, J. Mas and E. Ramos, *Reports on Math. Phys.* **3** (1991) 479.
- [19] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **19** (1983) 943;
E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, and T. Miwa, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **18** (1982) 1077;
V.G. Kac and D.H. Peterson, *Lectures on the infinite wedge-representation and the MKP hierarchy*, Coll. Séminaire de Math. Supérieures, (P. Winternitz, ed.), Presses de l’Univ. Montreal, vol. **102** (1986) 141.
- [20] J.W. van de Leur, *contragredient Lie Superalgebras of Finite Growth*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Utrecht 1986; *Commun. in Algebra* **17** (1989) 1815;
L. Frappat, A. Sciarrino and P. Sorba, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989) 457.
- [21] F. Delduc, F. Gieres, S. Gourmelen and S. Theisen, *Non-standard matrix formats of Lie superalgebras*, preprint LPENSL-TH-15/98, MPI-PhT/98-94, LYCEN 98110, math-ph/9901017.
- [22] V.G. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras* (3rd ed.), Cambridge University Press (1990).